1. Introduction

It is difficult to visualize histological details on semi-ultrathin sections by light microscopy after immunohistochemical labeling because the histological structures in such sections cannot be distinguished by standard counter-staining. To solve this problem and to visualize the immunoreactivity specific for various antigens, we have developed a technique that involves a combination of immunofluorescent staining of semi-ultrathin sections of epoxy resin-embedded samples and either the corresponding differential interference contrast (DIC) images or the corresponding images in transmission mode obtained by confocal laser-scanning microscopy (LSM), providing detailed information about the immuno-localization of histological and cellular structures.

Haraguchi and Yokota (2002) were the first to describe a similar method, including treatment of sections with 10% sodium ethoxide to remove epoxy resin (Litwin et al., 1984) and reaction with fluorescence-labeled second antibodies. In our method, we use 10% sodium methoxide to remove epoxy resin from sections (Mayor et al., 1961). There is no significant difference between the two treatments, but Haraguchi & Yokota (2002) used fluorescence-labeled second antibodies while we use a combination of biotin-conjugated second antibodies and streptavidin fluorescence. Our preliminary experiments indicated that the latter system has greater sensitivity than the former in the case of semi-ultrathin sections. Using our above-mentioned method, we are now easily able to detect immunofluorescence on semi-ultrathin sections of epoxy resin-embedded specimens.

To demonstrate the effectiveness of our method, in the present study we examined the immunofluorescence of immuno-stained keratins and collagens and the images obtained, in transmission mode, of the lingual mucosa of the filiform and circumvallate papillary areas of tongues of fetus and juvenile rats by LSM. By combining images, we were able to define clearly the histological locations of keratin 13 (K13) and keratin 14 (K14) in the lingual epithelium (Iwasaki et al., 2006a, 2011a) and those of collagen II (CII) and collagen III (CIII) in the lingual connective tissue (Asami et al., 2008, Iwasaki et al., 2008, 2011b). Furthermore, we demonstrated that our newly developed technique for localization of pairs of antigens should be useful for investigations of very small specimens, such as fetal tissues and organs (Aoyagi et al., 2008).
2. Experimental methods

2.1 Experimental animals
Sprague-Dawley (SPF) rats were used for all observations. Tongues were removed from fetal and juvenile rats after they had been killed by an intraperitoneal overdose of sodium pentobarbital.

2.2 Preparation of tissue
Rat lingual tissues were fixed in 4% formaldehyde titrated from paraformaldehyde (Table 1-A) in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (PBS; pH 7.4; Table 1-B) at 4 °C for 5 h. After rinsing in 0.1 M PBS, all samples were transferred to an ascending ethanol series (60%, 70%, 80%, 90% and 99% ethanol; 10 min each) and then to absolute ethanol (twice for 15 min each) for dehydration. After immersion in propylene oxide (twice for 15 min each), each specimen was transferred to a mixture of propylene oxide and epoxy resin (1:1, v/v), and embedded in epoxy resin (Table 1-C), which was allowed to polymerize overnight at 60 °C. Then the epoxy resin-embedded blocks were sectioned at 500-nm thickness with a diamond knife on an ultramicrotome (MT-XL; RMC, Tucson, AZ, USA). The resultant semi-ultrathin sections were mounted on glass slides (Matsunami Glass Inc., Osaka, Japan) and incubated in 10% sodium methoxide (Table 1-D) for 2 min at room temperature to remove the epoxy resin (Mayor et al., 1961). After passage through an acetone series, which consisted of absolute acetone (two times) and 50% acetone (once), sections were transferred to PBS (pH 7.4). Each specimen was passed rapidly through each solvent, that is to say, with immersion for 30 sec or so.

2.3 Immunofluorescence staining
After retrieval of antigens (Shi et al., 1991) by heating in a microwave oven at 500 Watts for 2 min in 10 mM sodium citrate buffer (pH 6.0; Table 1-E), sections on slides were allowed to cool for 8 min and were then transferred to PBS (pH 7.4) at room temperature. Sections were then incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4 °C. The primary antibodies that we used in this study are shown in Table 2. To determine the optimum working dilutions of each preparation of antibodies, we tested dilutions from 1:25 to 1:800. After washing in PBS, sections were incubated with biotin-conjugated antibodies raised in rabbit against mouse IgG, IgA and IgM (Nichirei Biosciences, Tokyo, Japan) or with biotin-conjugated antibodies raised in goat against rabbit IgG (Nichirei Biosciences) for 30 min at room temperature. Secondary antibodies are also shown in Table 2. Sections were incubated with streptavidin-Alexa Fluor 488 or 633 (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, USA) for 30 min at room temperature. Fluorescent reagents are shown in Table 3. Sections were then mounted with FluoroGuard™ antifade reagent (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) after washing in PBS. Each specimen was covered with a glass coverslip (Matsunami Glass Inc) with clear nail varnish as adhesive. The main steps for immunofluorescence staining are summarized in Table 4. The specificity of immunoreactions was checked by preparation of the following controls: a control without primary antibodies; a control incubated with normal mouse serum instead of primary antibodies; and controls incubated with antibodies that had been incubated for 24 h at 4 °C with the corresponding antigen at 10 to 100 μg/ml. No immunolabeling of the lingual mucosa of fetal and juvenile rats was seen in any of the negative controls.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reagent</th>
<th>Preparation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **A** 4% formaldehyde titrated from paraformaldehyde | (1) 25 ml of distilled water (DW) are heated almost to boiling point.  
(2) 2 g of paraformaldehyde (fine granules; TAAB) are added to the hot DW and are dissolved by gentle shaking.  
(3) Upon addition of one to three drops of 1 N NaOH, the 2 g of paraformaldehyde are completely dissolved in DW.  
(4) Finally, a small amount of DW is added to give the total volume back to 25 ml.  
(5) Phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) is prepared as a 10x stock solution that contains 1.37 M NaCl, 27 mM KCl, 100 mM Na₂HPO₄ and 18 mM KH₂PO₄ (adjusted to pH 7.4 with HCl, if necessary) and is stored at 4°C.  
(6) A working solution is prepared by dilution of one part stock solution with four parts distilled and deionized water (DDW).  
(7) Mix 25 ml of the solution of paraformaldehyde with 25 ml of 2x PBS just before use. |
| **B** 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) | (1) Prepare a 10x stock solution of PBS with 1.37 M NaCl, 27 mM KCl, 100 mM Na₂HPO₄ and 18 mM KH₂PO₄ (adjust to pH 7.4 with HCl, if necessary) and store at 4°C.  
(2) Prepare a working solution by dilution of one part stock solution with nine parts DDW. |
| **C** Epoxy resin | (1) Solution A: 62 ml of Epon 812 and 100 ml of dodecenyl succinic anhydride (DDSA; TAAB).  
(2) Solution B: 100 ml of Epon 812 and 89 ml of methyl nadic anhydride (MNA).  
(3) Mix equal volumes of solutions A and B, adding 1.5 to 1.8% tri-dimethylaminomethyl phenol (DMP-30; TAAB) for polymerization. |
| **D** 10% sodium methoxide | (1) Add 20 g of sodium metal to 200 ml of absolute methanol in a 1,000-ml flask; bubbling will occur for approximately 20 min.  
(2) Add methanol to give a volume of 200 ml, to compensate for losses due to evaporation.  
(3) After addition of 200 ml of benzene, add 50 to 100 ml of methanol until complete fusion of methanol and benzene has occurred. |
| **E** 10 mM sodium citrate buffer (pH 6.0) | (1) 10 mM sodium citrate buffer (pH 6.0): prepare a 20x stock solution with 20 ml of 200 mM citric acid and 80 ml of 200 mM sodium citrate.  
(2) Prepare the working solution by dilution of one part stock solution with nineteen parts DDW. |

Table 1. Preparation of reagents for fixation of tissues and immunofluorescence staining.
Table 2. Primary and secondary antibodies used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibodies</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Host</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Dilution</th>
<th>Company</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anti-K13</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>Monoclonal</td>
<td>1:50-1:100</td>
<td>Progen Biotech GmbH, Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-K14</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>Monoclonal</td>
<td>1:50-1:100</td>
<td>Cymbus Bioscience Ltd., UK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-CII</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>Polyclonal</td>
<td>1:50-1:100</td>
<td>Chemicon International, Inc., USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-CIII</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>Polyclonal</td>
<td>1:50-1:100</td>
<td>Chemicon International, Inc., USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotin-conjugated anti-mouse</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>10 μg/ ml</td>
<td>Nichirei Biosciences, Japan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotin-conjugated anti-rabbit</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>Goat</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>10 μg/ ml</td>
<td>Nichirei Biosciences, Japan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Fluorescent reagents used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Dilution</th>
<th>Company</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Streptavidin-Alexa Fluor 488</td>
<td>10 μg/ ml</td>
<td>Molecular Probes, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptavidin-Alexa Fluor 633</td>
<td>10 μg/ ml</td>
<td>Molecular Probes, USA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. A summary of methods, showing the main steps for immunofluorescence staining.

Using our new technique, we were able easily to detect and localize immunofluorescence in the tongues of fetal and juvenile rats. In the present study, we used DIC images and images in transmission mode obtained by LSM to examine the same specimens as those in which we monitored the fluorescence of Alexa Fluor 488 or 633. We were able to define the histological location of K13, K14, CII and CIII by combining the respective images.
2.4 Confocal laser-scanning microscopy (LSM)
All specimens were examined with a confocal laser-scanning microscope (LSM510 or LSM710; Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany) that was equipped with an argon laser or a helium-neon (HeNe) laser. The dimensions of all images displayed on the monitor were 1024 x 1024 pixels. For single scanning for detection of the fluorescence of Alexa Fluor 488 (Molecular Probes), we used a 488-nm laser wavelength filter; a 488-nm primary dichroic beam-splitter; and a 505- to 530-nm band-pass filter. These specimens were examined with a confocal laser-scanning microscope that was fitted with an argon laser. For single scanning for detection of the fluorescence of Alexa Fluor 633, we used a 633-nm laser wavelength filter; a 514/633-nm primary dichroic beam-splitter; and a 650-nm low-pass filter. These specimens were examined with a confocal laser-scanning microscope that was fitted with a HeNe laser. Combinations of pixel sizes from 0.12 μm x 0.12 μm to 0.17 μm x 0.17 μm and a 40x objective with a numerical aperture (NA) of 0.75 were used for observations. We also examined DIC images that revealed the histology and morphology of cells on the same semi-ultrathin sections. We stacked the immunofluorescence images and the corresponding DIC images by computer, as summarized in Table 5. Furthermore, after staining of specimens with 0.2% toluidine blue (Waldeck GmbH & Co., Münster, Germany) in 2.5% Na₂CO₃, we examined the corresponding images by LSM in the transmission mode. Finally, two images, showing the immunoreactivity of a specific antigen and the histology, recorded in transmission mode, were stacked on top of one another; by computer; for analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Procedure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1*</td>
<td>Fluorescent immunostaining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2*</td>
<td>Immunofluorescent images obtained by LSM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>DIC images obtained by LSM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Toluidine blue staining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Images in transmission mode obtained by LSM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Combination of immunofluorescent and DIC images</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Combination of immunofluorescent and transmission-mode images</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. A summary of methods for the stacking of images of immunoreactivity and DIC images or images obtained by LSM in transmission mode.
*Steps 1 and 2 should be repeated using adjacent semi-ultrathin sections and antibodies with two different specificities to show the localization of pairs of antigens.

To reveal the combined localization of the pair of antigens of K13 and of K14, we stacked three images obtained by LSM, which showed the immunoreactivity of each antigen and the histology, recorded in transmission mode, on top of one another by computer and analyzed the results after examining the same respective DIC images and images obtained in transmission mode.

3. Experimental results and analyses
3.1 Localization of immunofluorescence specific for K13 and K14 in the lingual epithelium during morphogenesis of non-gustatory papillae
We have not yet succeeded in the double immunolabeling of samples prepared by the epoxy-resin method. Therefore, instead of double immunolabeling, we immunolabeled K13
and K14, separately, in adjacent sections. We then stacked the two resultant images together with an image obtained by LSM, in transmission mode, using a computer (Aoyagi et al., 2008). The combination of laser-scanning micrographs, which show the immunolabeling of K13 and K14 in the fetal rat tongue, and images obtained by LSM in transmission mode is shown in Figure 1.

Localization of immunofluorescence specific for K13 and K14 in the lingual epithelium during morphogenesis of non-gustatory papillae, as revealed by the above-mentioned double immunolabeling, is shown in Figure 2. Filiform papillae, which are non-gustatory lingual papillae, were compactly distributed on the dorsal surface of the lingual body of rats after birth, and these papillae developed rapidly just before birth (Iwasaki et al., 1997). Morphogenesis of filiform papillae is closely related to the keratinization of the dorsal lingual epithelium (Iwasaki et al., 1999). Immunoreactivity specific for K13 and for K14 was not detected on the lingual epithelium of fetuses on day 15 after conception (E15), at which time the lingual epithelium was composed of a few layers of cuboidal cells. Immunoreactivity specific for K13 and K14 was distinct at all postnatal stages examined. Although the respective patterns of K13-specific and K14-specific immunoreactivity differed as the filiform papillae developed, immunoreactivity specific for K13 was generally evident in the suprabasal cells of the interpapillary cell columns, where keratinization was weaker than in the papillary cell columns. Immunoreactivity specific for K14 was detected in the basal and suprabasal cells, which were mitotically active, of the papillary and interpapillary cell columns. Immunoreactivity specific for K13 in immunopositive cells in the interpapillary cell columns was densely distributed in the cytoplasm exclusively and non was evident in the nuclei. The same was true of the immunoreactivity specific for K14. Furthermore, immunoreactivity specific for K13 in the suprabasal cells of the interpapillary cell columns was more densely distributed than it was in the suprabasal cells of the papillary cell columns. By contrast, immunoreactivity specific for K14 in the basal and suprabasal cells of the papillary cell columns was more distinctively distributed than it was in the interpapillary cell columns. The corresponding images in transmission mode clearly revealed that the lingual epithelium was composed of stratified squamous cells and, in addition, that rounded rudiments of filiform papillae were arranged at equal intervals, for the most part, just before and just after birth. The sizes of basal cells in the papillary and interpapillary regions were almost same as those of cells in the dorsal epithelium of the tongue. At this stage, the connective tissue was beginning to penetrate into the central part of each filiform papilla and, as a result, the epithelial-connective tissue border was undulated (Iwasaki et al., 2006a).

3.2 Localization of immunofluorescence specific for K13 and K14 in the lingual epithelium during the morphogenesis of gustatory papillae

Localization of immunofluorescence specific for K13 and K14 in the epithelium during the morphogenesis of circumvallate papillae, which are gustatory papillae, in fetal and juvenile Sprague-Dawley rats is shown in Figures 3, 4 and 5. Only a single circumvallate papilla, one type of gustatory lingual papilla, is located medially on the dorsal surface at the end of the lingual body (Iwasaki et al., 1997). We used fluorescence immunohistochemistry, analysis of DIC images and LSM in the transmission mode, after staining specimens with toluidine blue, to examine the localization of K13 and K14 in the lingual epithelium of rats during the prenatal and postnatal morphogenesis of the circumvallate papillae. No immunoreactivity
Fig. 1. The stacking of images of immunofluorescence with DIC images and with images obtained by LSM in transmission mode. Micrographs of two sagittally adjacent sections on P0, which show the immunolabeling of K13 (Alexa Fluor 633; green) and of K14 (Alexa Fluor 488; red) in the lingual epithelium of rats on P0 and the images obtained by LSM in the transmission mode, are stacked as follows.
(a) Immunofluorescence of K14 obtained by LSM;
(b) removal of the background from image in (a);
(c) DIC image of the same section as in (a), obtained by LSM;
(d) stacking of images in (b) and (c);
(e) image obtained by LSM in transmission mode after toluidine blue staining;
(f) stacking of images in (b) and (e);
(g) immunofluorescence of K14 obtained by LSM;
(h) stacking of image in (g), without background, and in (c); and
(i) stacking of images in (b), in (g) without background, and in (e).
E, Dorsal lingual epithelium; CT, connective tissue; and M, muscle. Bars = 10 μm.
Fig. 2. Combination of laser-scanning micrographs, which show the immunolabeling of K13 (Alexa Fluor 633; green) and of K14 (Alexa Fluor 488; red) in the fetal rat tongue, and DIC images (left half of each micrograph) or images obtained by LSM in transmission mode (right half of each micrograph) that show the histology and cellular morphology of semi-ultrathin sections.

(a) Sagittal section from a fetus on E15;
(b) sagittal section from a fetus on E17;
(c) sagittal section from a fetus on E19;
(d) sagittal section from a juvenile on P0;
(e) sagittal section from a juvenile on P7; and
(f) sagittal section from a juvenile on P14.

E, Dorsal lingual epithelium; PE, periderm; CT, connective tissue; FP, filiform papillae; KL, keratinized cell layer; M, muscle; IP, interpapillary cell column; A, anterior cell column of filiform papilla; P, posterior cell column of filiform papilla; and arrows, connective tissue papillae. Bars = 10 μm.
Fluorescence Immunohistochemistry by Confocal Laser-Scanning Microscopy for Studies of Semi-Ultrathin Specimens of Epoxy Resin-Embedded Samples

Fig. 3. Combination of laser-scanning micrographs, which show the immunolabeling of K13 in the fetal rat tongue, and DIC images (left half of each micrograph) or images obtained by LSM in transmission mode (right half of each micrograph) that show the histology and cellular morphology of semi-ultrathin sections. No immunoreactivity was recognizable.
(a) Transverse section from a fetus on E15;
(b) transverse section from a fetus on E17; and
(c) transverse section from a fetus on E19.
E, Dorsal lingual epithelium; PE, periderm; CT, connective tissue; CP, circumvallate papilla; CS, circular sulcus; M, muscle; BV, blood vessel; and arrows, connective tissue papillae. Bars = 20 μm.

Fig. 4. Combination of laser-scanning micrographs, which show the immunolabeling of K13 (Alexa Fluor 633; green) in the juvenile rat tongue, and DIC images (left half of each micrograph) or images obtained by LSM in transmission mode (right half of each micrograph) that show the histology and cellular morphology of semi-ultrathin sections.
(a) Transverse section from a juvenile on P0;
(b) transverse section from a juvenile on P7; and
(c) transverse section from a juvenile on P14.
E, Dorsal lingual epithelium; CT, connective tissue; CP, circumvallate papilla; CS, circular sulcus; M, muscle; TB, taste bud; SD, secretory duct of von Ebner’s gland; and arrows, connective tissue papillae. Bars = 50 μm.
specific for K13 and K14 was detected in the lingual epithelium of fetuses on E15, at which
time the circumvallate papillary placode, the primitive rudiment of the circumvallate
papilla, was detectable as the thickening of several layers of cuboidal epithelial cells. On E17
and E19, the developing circumvallate papillae were clearly recognizable, each onsisting of a
central papilla and the surrounding sulcus. No immunoreactivity specific for K13 and K14
was evident in the lingual epithelium around these structures at this time. K14-specific
immunoreactivity was first detected in the basal layer of the epithelium of the circumvallate
papillae on P0 (the day of birth) and K13-specific immunoreactivity was detected on P7.
Morphogenesis of the circumvallate papillae progressed significantly from P0 to P14, and
immunoreactivity specific for K13 and K14 was clearly recognizable after P7. The respective
patterns of K13-specific and K14-specific immunoreactivity differed during the development
of the circumvallate papillae. K13-specific immunoreactivity was generally evident in cells
in the intermediate layer of the epithelium, while K14-specific immunoreactivity was
detected in cells in the basal and suprabasal layers.

The immunostaining method used in the present study, with removal of epoxy-resin and
antigen retrieval by microwaving, was fundamentally the same as that used in previous
studies (Aoyagi et al., 2008; Asami et al., 2008; Iwasaki et al., 2008). Therefore, the observed
significant differences between the respective patterns of distribution of immunoreactive
K13 and K14 in filiform papillary regions and circumvallate papillary regions are unlikely to
be based on differences in methodology. The main reason for the differences in the
respective patterns of distribution of K13 and K14 between the two areas might be the
differences in the patterns of stratification and keratinization of the epithelium between the
two areas. In particular, the difference in the timing of the appearance of K14 seems to be

---

**Fig. 5.** Combination of laser-scanning micrographs, which show the immunolabeling of K14
(Alexa Fluor 488; red) in the juvenile rat tongue, and DIC images (left half of each
micrograph) or images obtained by LSM in transmission mode (right half of each
micrograph) that show the histology and cellular morphology of semi-ultrathin sections.
(a) Transverse section from a juvenile on P0; (b) transverse section from a juvenile on P7; and
(c) transverse section from a juvenile on P14.

E, Dorsal lingual epithelium; CT, connective tissue; CP, circumvallate papilla; CS, circular
sulcus; M, muscle; TB, taste bud; SD, secretory duct of von Ebner’s gland; and arrows,
connective tissue papillae. Bars = 50 μm.
related to the difference in the timing of initiation of the stratification of the epithelium. It is possible that the appearance of K14 in basal cells of the lingual epithelium might induce changes in the lingual dorsal epithelium, namely, morphogenesis of filiform papillae, keratinization of the epithelium of the papillary cell column, and so on (Aoyagi et al., 2008). The initiation of stratification in the filiform papillary area clearly occurs earlier than that in the circumvallate papillary area, and K14-specific immunoreactivity in the filiform papillary area appears earlier than that in the circumvallate papillary area. By contrast, the appearance of K13-specific immunoreactivity seems to be related both to the stratification and to the keratinization of the epithelium. As indicated by Aoyagi et al. (2008), K13 is widely distributed in the suprabasal layer of the entire lingual epithelium before the initiation of the hard keratinization of the filiform papillae. However, the region of which K13 appears is restricted to the suprabasal and intermediate layers of the interpapillary cell columns, in which hard keratinization does not develop. After P7, K13-specific immunoreactivity was evident on the surface and upper intermediate layers of the epithelium in the circumvallate papillary area, but no hard keratinization was evident in this region. Thus, the pattern of keratinization of the epithelium clearly differs between the filiform papillary area and the circumvallate papillary area (Iwasaki et al., 2011a).

3.3 Localization of immunofluorescence specific for CII and CIII in the lingual mucosa during morphogenesis of non-gustatory papillae

Localization of immunofluorescence specific for CII and CIII in the connective tissue of the mucosa during morphogenesis of the circumvallate papillae, which are gustatory papillae, of fetal and juvenile rats is shown in Figures 6 and 7.

As shown in Figure 6, immunoreactivity specific for CII was scattered in the extracellular matrix over a wide area of the mesenchymal connective tissue of the fetal tongue on E15, when the lingual epithelium was composed of one or two layers of cuboidal cells. Immunoreactivity became more and more significant in the connective tissue of the lamina propria as morphogenesis of the filiform papillae advanced at birth. In addition, immunoreactivity was widely distributed in the connective tissue around the lingual muscle, as myogenesis in the tongue proceeded. The lingual epithelium was composed of stratified squamous cells, and keratinization of the lingual epithelium increased gradually as morphogenesis of filiform papillae continued during postnatal development. The present observations indicate that expression of CII might be related to the development of the endomysium and perimysium after myogenesis of the tongue is complete on P0. Rahkonen and Savontaus (2003) reported that CII is expressed in the epithelial-mesenchymal area of the developing heart and participates in the morphogenesis of cardiac valves and septa. Thus, CII might also be widely expressed during morphogenesis of connective-tissue components after myogenesis of striated muscle. Some common mechanism might be involved in both phenomena. However, in the present study, we failed to define the stages at which the expression of CII begins and when it ends because the period during which animals were collected began too long after conception and ended too soon after birth (Asami et al., 2008).

We also examined, in semi-ultrathin sections of epoxy resin-embedded samples, the distribution of immunostained CIII, using images obtained in transmission mode, after toluidine blue staining, by LSM, during the morphogenesis of the filiform papillae, the keratinization of the lingual epithelium and the myogenesis of the tongue (Fig. 7).
Fig. 6. Combinations of laser-scanning micrographs that show the localization of immunoreactive type II collagen (Alexa Fluor 488; red) and DIC images (left half of each micrograph) or images obtained by LSM in the transmission mode (right half of each micrograph) that show the histology and cellular morphology of semi-ultrathin sections of the lingual body.
(a) Frontal section from a fetus on E15;
(b) sagittal section from a fetus on E17;
(c) sagittal section from a fetus on E19;
(d) sagittal section from a juvenile on P0;
(e) sagittal section from a juvenile on P7; and
(f) frontal section from a juvenile on P14.
E, Dorsal lingual epithelium; P, periderm; C, connective tissue; M, muscle; FP, filiform papillae; and arrow, connective tissue papillae. Bars = 10 μm.
Fig. 7. Combinations of laser-scanning micrographs that show the localization of immunoreactive type II collagen (Alexa Fluor 633; green) and DIC images (left half of each micrograph) or images obtained by LSM in the transmission mode (right half of each micrograph) that show the histology and cellular morphology of semi-ultrathin sections of the lingual body.
(a) Frontal section from a fetus on E15;
(b) sagittal section from a fetus on E17;
(c) sagittal section from a fetus on E19;
(d) sagittal section from a juvenile on P0;
(e) sagittal section from a juvenile on P7; and
(f) frontal section from a juvenile on P14.
E, Dorsal lingual epithelium; P, periderm; C, connective tissue; M, muscle; FP, filiform papillae; and arrow, connective tissue papillae. Bars = 10 μm.
Immunoreactivity specific for CIII was distributed widely in the mesenchymal connective tissue in fetuses on E15, at which time the lingual epithelium was composed of one or two layers of cuboidal cells and the lingual muscle was barely recognizable. Immunoreactivity specific for CIII was clearly detected on the lamina propria in fetuses on E17 and on E19, and it was relatively distinct just beneath the lingual epithelium. Immunoreactivity specific for CIII was sparsely distributed on the connective tissue around the developing lingual muscle. In fetuses on E19, the epithelium became clearly stratified and squamous. At postnatal stages from birth to P14, keratinization of the lingual epithelium advanced gradually with the development of filiform papillae. In newborns on P0, myogenesis of the tongue was almost complete. The intensity of the fluorescence due to immunoreactivity specific for CIII at postnatal stages was almost same as that on E19. However, fluorescence just beneath the lingual epithelium had disappeared on P14. The immunoreactivity around the fully mature muscle was relatively distinct from P0 to P14. Thus, CIII appeared in conjunction with the morphogenesis of filiform papillae and the keratinization of the lingual epithelium, as well as in the connective tissue that surrounded the lingual muscle during myogenesis of the rat tongue (Iwasaki et al., 2008).

3.4 Localization of immunofluorescence specific for CII and CIII in the lingual mucosa during morphogenesis of gustatory papillae

Immunoreactivity specific for CII was recognized first in the mesenchymal connective tissue just beneath the circumvallate papilla placode in fetuses on E13. At this stage, most of the lingual epithelium was pseudostratified epithelium composed of one or two layers of cuboidal cells. However, the epithelium of the circumvallate papilla placode was composed of several layers of cuboidal cells. Immunoreactivity specific for CII was detected mainly on the lamina propria just beneath the lingual epithelium of the rudiment of the circumvallate papilla in fetuses on E15 and on E17, and slight immunostaining was detected on the lamina propria around the rudiment. In fetuses on E19, immunoreactivity specific for CII was widely and densely distributed on the connective tissue around the developing circumvallate papillae and on the connective tissue that surrounded the lingual muscle. Immunoreactivity specific for CII was sparsely distributed on the lamina propria of the central bulge. After birth, morphogenesis of the circumvallate papillae advanced gradually with the increase in size of the tongue. Immunoreactivity specific for CII was distinctively distributed in the lamina propria around circumvallate papilla, in the central bulge, and in the connective tissue that surrounded the lingual muscle. The examination of specimens by LSM, in transmission mode, after staining with toluidine blue, revealed details of the histology and cell morphology of the dorsal mucosa more effectively than examination of similar DIC images. The circumvallate papillary placode could be seen on the dorsal surface of the lingual root of fetuses on E13. The rudiment of the circumvallate papilla developed gradually in fetuses, and morphogenesis of the circumvallate papilla progressed significantly at postnatal stages. Except at early stages, CII appeared not only in the connective tissue of the lamina propria but also in the connective tissue papillae during the morphogenesis of the rat tongue. In addition, CII appeared in the connective tissue that surrounded the lingual muscle and its presence seemed to be related to the development of the endomysium and perimysium after completion of the myogenesis of the tongue (Figs. 8 and 9).
Fig. 8. Combinations of laser-scanning micrographs that show the localization (Alexa Fluor 488; red) of immunoreactive type II collagen and DIC images (left half of each micrograph) or images obtained by LSM in the transmission mode (right half of each micrograph) that show the histology and morphology of cells in semi-ultrathin sections of the developing circumvallate papillary area in fetal rats.
(a) Frontal section from a fetus on E13; (b) frontal section from a fetus on E15; (c) frontal section from a fetus on E17; and (d) frontal section from a fetus on E19.
E, Dorsal lingual epithelium; PE, periderm; CT, connective tissue; M, muscle; CP, circumvallate papillary placode or the rudiment of the circumvallate papilla; CS, circular sulcus; BV, blood vessel; and arrow, connective-tissue papilla of the central papilla. Bars = 20 μm.

In an effort to identify a possible role for CIII in the morphogenesis of circumvallate papillae on the surface of the rat tongue, we examined its appearance by fluorescent immunostaining, in conjunction with DIC images and images obtained, after staining with toluidine blue, by LSM in the transmission mode. We analyzed semi-ultrathin sections of epoxy resin-embedded samples of the lingual mucosa of embryonic and juvenile rats, from E13 to P21. Immunoreactivity specific for CIII was recognized first in the mesenchymal connective tissue just beneath the circumvallate papillary placode on E13. At this stage, most of the lingual epithelium with the exception of the circumvallate papilla placode was pseudostratified epithelium that was composed of one or two layers of cuboidal cells.
Fig. 9. Combinations of laser-scanning micrographs that show the localization (Alexa Fluor 488; red) of immunoreactive type II collagen and DIC images (left half of each micrograph) or images obtained by LSM in the transmission mode (right half of each micrograph) that show the histology and morphology of cells in semi-ultrathin sections of the developing circumvallate papillary area in juvenile rats.
(a) Frontal section from a fetus on E13;
(b) frontal section from a fetus on E15;
(c) frontal section from a fetus on E17; and
(d) frontal section from a fetus on E19.
E, Dorsal lingual epithelium; CT, connective tissue; M, muscle; CP, circumvallate papilla; CS, circular sulcus; SD, secretory duct of the Ebner’s gland; TB, taste buds; and arrow, connective-tissue papilla of the central papilla. Bars = 50 μm.

However, the epithelium of the circumvallate papillary placode was composed of several layers of cuboidal cells. Immunoreactivity specific for C III was detected mainly on the lamina propria just beneath the lingual epithelium of the rudiment of the circumvallate papilla and the developing circumvallate papilla in fetuses on E15 and on E17, and slight immunostaining was detected on the lamina propria around the rudiment. In fetuses on E19, immunoreactivity specific for C III was widely and densely distributed on the connective tissue around the developing circumvallate papillae and, also, on the connective tissue that surrounded the lingual muscle. However, the immunoreactivity specific for C III
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Fig. 10. Combinations of laser-scanning micrographs that show the localization (Alexa Fluor 633; green) of immunoreactive type III collagen and DIC images (left half of each micrograph) or images obtained by LSM in the transmission mode (right half of each micrograph) that show the histology and morphology of cells in semi-ultrathin sections of the developing circumvallate papillary area in fetal rats.

(a) Frontal section from a fetus on E13;
(b) frontal section from a fetus on E15;
(c) frontal section from a fetus on E17; and
(d) frontal section from a fetus on E19.

E, Dorsal lingual epithelium; PE, periderm; CT, connective tissue; M, muscle; CP, circumvallate papillary placode or the rudiment of the circumvallate papilla; CS, circular sulcus; BV, blood vessel; and arrow, connective-tissue papilla of the central papilla. Bars = 10 μm.

was sparsely distributed on the lamina propria of each central papillar structure. After birth, from P0 to P14, morphogenesis of the circumvallate papillae advanced gradually as the total volume of the tongue increased. At these postnatal stages, the intensity of the fluorescence due to immunoreactivity specific for CIII was distinctively distributed on the lamina propria around each circumvallate papilla, on each central bulge, and on the connective tissue that surrounded the lingual muscle. However, immunofluorescence was less distinct on the connective tissue that surrounded the lingual muscle. Thus, CIII appeared in conjunction with the morphogenesis of the circumvallate papillae, as well as in the connective tissue that surrounded the lingual muscle during myogenesis of the rat tongue (Figs. 10 and 11).
Fig. 11. Combinations of laser-scanning micrographs that show the localization (Alexa Fluor 633; green) of immunoreactive type III collagen and DIC images (left half of each micrograph) or images obtained by LSM in the transmission mode (right half of each micrograph) that show the histology and morphology of cells in semi-ultrathin sections of the developing circumvallate papillary area in juvenile rats.
(a) Frontal section from a fetus on E13;
(b) frontal section from a fetus on E15;
(c) frontal section from a fetus on E17; and
(d) frontal section from a fetus on E19.
E, Dorsal lingual epithelium; CT, connective tissue; M, muscle; CP, circumvallate papilla; CS, circular sulcus; SD, secretory duct of the Ebner’s gland; TB, taste buds; and arrow, connective-tissue papilla of the central papilla. Bars = 50 μm.

3.5 Analysis of methodology
For the examination of sections by LSM, we found that microwave heating of specimens just before immunofluorescence staining was useful for the retrieval of antigens in epoxy resin-embedded specimens, even though this treatment had originally been developed for the retrieval of antigens in formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded specimens. In our previous and present attempts to clarify the localization of immunoreactivity in tissues and cells, we used only a combination of immunofluorescence staining of semi-ultrathin sections and
corresponding DIC images obtained by LSM. Using this technique, we were easily able to detect and localize immunofluorescence in the tongues of rat fetuses and juveniles at embryonic and postnatal stages (Iwasaki et al., 2003, 2006a, b, 2007a, b). In some of our previous studies, by contrast, we used LSM in the transmission mode to examine the same specimens as those in which we had monitored fluorescence in an effort to reveal histological and cell-morphological features more distinctly than those revealed in DIC images. By combining immunofluorescence images and the corresponding images obtained by LSM in the transmission mode, we were able to define the histological localization of various antigens more clearly than when we combined immunofluorescence images and the corresponding DIC images. Our method, using both light microscopy and confocal laser-scanning microscopy, should be applicable to various kinds of tissue and cell of which only very small amounts are available.

4. Conclusion

We have developed a technique, using a combination of immunofluorescence staining of semi-ultrathin sections of epoxy resin-embedded samples and the DIC images and images in transmission mode obtained by LSM, that provides detailed information about the immunolocalization of antigens and histological and cellular structures. To demonstrate the effectiveness of our method, we examined the immunofluorescence of immunostained K13 and K14 and that of immunostained CII and CIII and the corresponding DIC and transmission images during the morphogenesis of filiform papillae on the lingual epithelium of rat fetuses and juveniles. We demonstrated that our newly developed technique for localization of pairs of antigens should be useful for investigations of very small specimens, such as fetal tissues and organs.
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Ever since the invention of laser by Schawlow and Townes in 1958, various innovative ideas of laser-based applications emerge every year. At the same time, scientists and engineers keep on improving laser’s power density, size, and cost which patch up the gap between theories and implementations. More importantly, our everyday life is changed and influenced by lasers even though we may not be fully aware of its existence. For example, it is there in cross-continent phone calls, price tag scanning in supermarkets, pointers in the classrooms, printers in the offices, accurate metal cutting in machine shops, etc. In this volume, we focus the recent developments related to laser scanning, a very powerful technique used in features detection and measurement. We invited researchers who do fundamental works in laser scanning theories or apply the principles of laser scanning to tackle problems encountered in medicine, geodesic survey, biology and archaeology. Twenty-eight chapters contributed by authors around the world to constitute this comprehensive book.
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