1. Introduction

The flexible manipulators have many industrial applications; but most of the reported works are using electrical or hydraulic actuators. These actuators have a linear behaviour and the control is easier than pneumatic actuators; but the pneumatic position control is a highly nonlinear problem, due to the air compressibility behaviour and internal friction (Moore & Pu, 1993). Because of these conditions, there are certain difficulties in pneumatics cylinder control design. The main disadvantage of electrical actuators is the low power-weight rate, the high current related with its load and it is heavy. The hydraulic actuators are not ecological, needs hydraulic oil and return lines to the pump is needed. By the other hand, the pneumatic actuators are clean, economy, light, faster, have a great power-weight rate and return lines are not needed. However, pneumatic actuators are not used into flexible manipulators developed due to their highly non linear behaviour. It is important to note that research lines; such as pneumatic control, embedded systems and flexible manipulators, are used in separate way.

As a matter of fact, most of manipulators robots use electric or hydraulic actuators; however, the pneumatic actuators are being used in recent years (Ramos at al, 2006a; Ramos et al, 2006b) to control a flexible manipulator arm. This is the beginning of a project which involves the use of a pneumatic cylinder to control a flexible manipulator robot. Our first approach is to use one degree of freedom, but the main goal is to have a two degree of freedom flexible manipulator.

Several pneumatic controllers had been developed; for example, the Model Reference Adaptive Control, MRAC (Suarez & Luis, 2005); however, the pneumatic model used for the control design, have the next considerations; a lineal actuator, a lineal valve, without damping systems at the sides, ideal gas, adiabatic changes and constant viscous friction.

Other works have been focused in friction parameter identification techniques of cylinder pneumatic (Wang & Wang, 2004), dynamic modelling and simulation (Jozsef & Claude,
Flexible manipulators can be used only under two conditions: a) when the robot weight must be minimized, and b) when the collisions in the work space need to be avoided (Feliu et al, 2001). The modelling of flexible manipulators has been developed almost 35 years ago (Mirro, 1972; Whitney et al, 1974), where, almost in all cases, they used electric or hydraulic actuators, and pneumatic cylinders are discouraged due to their non-linear behavior.

Pneumatic control started in 1968 with Burrows (1968), and recent works are focused mainly with adaptive control methods (Suarez & Luis, 2005; Quiles et al, 2004), some of them use a computer to implement the control (Burbano et al, 2003). Other researches are focused on mechanical system modelling using pneumatic actuators (Perez, 2003), from these kind of works, a Flexible Manipulator Model with pneumatic cylinder -called Thermo-Mechanical model- was developed, then the mechanical system is involved to give the movement for the flexible arm (Kiyama & Vargas, 2004). By other hand, electric actuators are used in the development of flexible manipulators (Feliu & Garcia, 2001), where the motor speed is considered for the control implementation along with the motor effects and the mechanical structure.

In our prototype we are using a flexible manipulator robot with a pneumatic actuator, where the damping systems in both sides and the mechanical dynamics for control are considered. The full Thermo-Mechanical model is used as a starting point, later it is simplified and the results are used for the control development. One contribution of this work is the position control of a flexible manipulator using a pneumatic actuator and a simplified Thermo-Mechanical model (Ramos et al, 2006).

The simplified Thermo-Mechanical model of pneumatic actuators allows us to predict its behavior, considering the air compressibility effects, internal friction forces, damping effects in both extremes of the cylinder, mass flow and energy conservation; also, gives us the instant pressure that depends on the rod position. From the engineering control point of view, this model let us predict the variable behavior, involved in the physical process, and can be used for control purposes.

This chapter is important, because the innovation of this work is the application of three research lines to obtain a flexible manipulator light, ecological and fast with great power-weight rate. The contribution of this chapter is the reported behaviour of the one-link flexible manipulator with pneumatic actuator with practical control results. Simulations of several controllers have been reported to learn about the pneumatic manipulator behaviour with one-link. The simulation and practical results are discussed. Later, the graphical simulation is important, because let us to obtain the control parameters in few time, and learn about the pneumatic manipulator behaviour before implementation. Finally, the control algorithm is implemented in Matlab language, and digital interfaces are implemented into field programmable gate array (FPGA), obtaining an embedded digital system with serial communication (RS232) protocol. The control algorithm implemented is a PD controller and results are compared with Fuzzy-Controller simulation results.

2. The pneumatic system

The complete system is showed in figure 1, and we call the PLANT. The output plant is $\theta_6$, corresponding to the arm elevation. The arm movement depends of the rod displacement.
and the cylinder force generated by the air pressure and the valve position, according with the figure 2.

The 5/2 electro valve is used to control the rod direction, using two proportional valves, represented by A1 and A3. The pneumatic system of the figure 2 has a mathematical model, and is called Simplified Thermo-Mechanical Model (Ramos et al., 2006).

Fig. 1. Mechanical-Pneumatic system for the flexible manipulator.

The Thermo-Mechanical controller developed previously (Ramos et al., 2006) includes a PID, discrete PID and a speed change feedback proposals. In this works a fuzzy control simulation is proposed and compared with practical results of fuzzy control implementation with personal computer support, considering the pneumatic system as shown in figure 2.

Fig. 2. Pneumatic system for the flexible arm manipulator.
3. The fuzzy algorithm

The Thermo-Mechanical Model has the next control inputs: the valve effective area air flow, eq. (1).

\[ u = [A_1, A_2, A_3] \]  

Where \( A_1, A_2 \) and \( A_3 \) are the valve area of cylinder side, rod side, and air return, respectively. However the value of \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \) are the same.

3.1 The fuzzy algorithm proposal

Figure 3 shows the control block diagram used for the pneumatic actuator system, taking the \( \theta \) angle as the mechanical system output.

![Control block diagram](image)

Fig. 2. The fuzzy controller proposal for pneumatic position.

Equation (2), shows the error equation; the eqs. (3) and (4) shows the proportional valve open level, obtained with a fuzzy logic method, where \( \theta_p \) is the reference and \( \theta \) is the actual position of the arm.

\[ e = \theta_p - \theta \]  

\[ [A_1, A_3] = \text{fuzzy}(\theta_p, \theta, e) \]  

\[ A_2 = A_1 \]  

Next, the fuzzy rules used to solve the problem are presented.

3.2 The fuzzy rules

Before the rule settings, both inputs and outputs variables were specified, and are showed in table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input</th>
<th>Output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference, ( \theta_p )</td>
<td>Valve 1, ( A_1 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angle, ( \theta )</td>
<td>Valve 2, ( A_3 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error, ( e )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Fuzzy rules, inputs and outputs.

The membership functions used in the fuzzy process are showed in figures 3 to 5. The used membership functions for the input variables, called reference and angle are the same; and the membership functions for the output variables called valve open \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \), are the same.
Fig. 3. Membership functions for ERROR input. (a) The external part. (b) The internal interval.

Fig. 4. Memberships functions. (a) Reference input. (b) Angle input

Fig. 5. Membership functions for the valve values. (a) Valve 1. (b) Valve 2.
These membership functions are used to control the pneumatic actuator on the manipulator system. In the fuzzy process, the control needs 26 rules, and those rules are distributed depending on the interval of each variable. Table 5 shows the fuzzy rules used to control the pneumatic actuator position. The values for $A_1$ and $A_3$ are normalized, that is, a value of 1.0 represents a 100% open valve (completely open); a 0.5 represents a 50% open valve and 0% means the valve is completely closed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INPUT</th>
<th>OUTPUT</th>
<th>$A_1$</th>
<th>$A_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference Angle</td>
<td>Error</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOW LOW POS2</td>
<td>FEW</td>
<td>FEW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOW LOW NEG1</td>
<td>FEW</td>
<td>ZERO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOW LOW ZERO</td>
<td>ZERO</td>
<td>ZERO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOW LOW ZERO POS1</td>
<td>FEW</td>
<td>ZERO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOW LOW POS2 POS2</td>
<td>FEW</td>
<td>FEW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOW HIGH DOWN</td>
<td>HALF</td>
<td>FEW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOW HIGH UP</td>
<td>DOWN ALL HALF</td>
<td>HALF</td>
<td>FEW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HALF HIGH UP</td>
<td>UP ALL HALF</td>
<td>HALF</td>
<td>FEW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HALF HIGH UP</td>
<td>NEG2 FEW FEW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HALF HIGH UP POS1</td>
<td>FEW</td>
<td>ZERO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HALF HIGH POS2</td>
<td>FEW</td>
<td>FEW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HALF HIGH DOWN</td>
<td>HALF</td>
<td>FEW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HALF HIGH UP</td>
<td>DOWN</td>
<td>HALF</td>
<td>FEW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UP UP</td>
<td>UP</td>
<td>FEW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UP UP NEG1</td>
<td>FEW</td>
<td>ZERO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UP UP NEG2</td>
<td>FEW</td>
<td>ZERO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UP UP ZERO</td>
<td>FEW</td>
<td>ZERO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UP UP POS1</td>
<td>FEW</td>
<td>ZERO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UP UP POS2</td>
<td>FEW</td>
<td>FEW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UP HIGH UP</td>
<td>HALF</td>
<td>HALF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UP LOW UP</td>
<td>HALF</td>
<td>HALF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Set of fuzzy rules used in the control process.

### 3.3 Experimental description

Figure 6 shows a functionally block diagram of the system. The ADC is a 12-bit ADS7841 device, with a synchronous serial interface communication, 4-channel and up to 200 KHz conversion rate. The DAC is a 12-bit DAC7624 device, with quad voltage output, parallel input data and 10 µs of settling time. Both DAC and ADC are manufactured by Texas Instruments. The DAC is used to control the proportional valve, and the ADC is used to read the flexible arm position with a 10KΩ resistive sensor, which output value has an interval of 0 to 2 V. Finally, an FPGA is used to implement the digital interfaces with the personal computer, and the PD FPGA based controller.
Fig. 6. Control block diagram for single-link flexible manipulator with pneumatic actuator.

Figure 7 shows a block diagram of hardware description to be implemented into FPGA, such as DAC driver, ADC driver, 50 ms sample time generator, communication protocol controller (RS232 driver), a register to load the DAC input (Register) and the finite state machine to synchronize each module (FSM control).

Fig. 7. Hardware description for FPGA block diagram.

The FPGA is used to implement the digital interface to control the flexible manipulator robot prototype that is shown in figure 8. Figure 9 shows the hardware used to control the flexible manipulator development.

Fig. 8. Flexible manipulator robot prototype. (a) General view. (b) Impulse mechanism. (c) Position sensor.
Fig. 9. Hardware used for flexible manipulator control.
VEA252 power board must be supplied with 24 V dc and the control signal should have ground isolation. For that, an HCNR200 device, manufactured by Hewlett Packard, is used.

4. Results

To test the behavior of the system, a set points vector was used, as shows the eq. (2).

$$\theta_p = [8, 40, 70, 95]$$ (2)

Figure 10 shows the fuzzy control simulation results, in comparison with practical results. The values for open valve are small, due to the air pressure; if the valve open are high, the actuator goes up too fast and arrive to the top in less than one second; in simulation way, the maximum value for the valves was established in 10%. This result was compared with practical results of the Fuzzy control.

Fig. 10. Fuzzy control behavior of the flexible arm.

The pneumatic actuator is used to generate a flexible manipulator arm displacement in radial way. To control the air flow through the cylinder, a fuzzy logic algorithm was implemented. In figure 10, the system response at the end of cylinder, must be improved. That behaviour is due to the gravity influence on the arm, the valves response and mechanical structure. The behaviour of figure 10 shows several step responses, and speed profile must be developed to obtain better results.
5. Conclusions and future work

The pneumatic actuator is used for the arm position, and to control the air flow through the cylinder, a fuzzy logic algorithm was tested in simulation and practical process, with satisfactory results. The Fuzzy control works only with the percent of valve open, to limit the air flow from the compressor through the cylinder chambers. The values for A1 and A2 are the same, but different for A3. Actually A3 must be small than A1 to get a better system response. In this case, we can see that a single Fuzzy Logic control is not enough to get a soft behaviour of the system, and a PID algorithm must be used. The system has been tested using several step functions, but a speed profile developing is necessary to improve the system response. The innovation of this work is the application of artificial intelligence control for one-link flexible arm position, with pneumatic cylinder, instead of electrical or hydraulic actuator. The contribution is the base of the knowledge about flexible manipulators with pneumatic actuator and fuzzy logic application.

As future work, is considering the use of reference frame, neuronal networks and maybe a combination of those controllers. By other hand, a speed profile should be developed.
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