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1. Introduction

1.1 Background

Robots used for industrial applications such as welding, painting and object handling have been common for many years. In recent years, the development of domestic robots has become more and more important because of the large and growing population of aged people, especially in Japan. To assist people in their daily lives, a robot must have the ability to deal with not only rigid objects but also the deformable and fragile objects usually encountered in our daily life. Many control algorithms have been developed for the manipulation of rigid objects, and in the recent past many studies related to robotic manipulation of deformable objects have also been reported (Hirai, 1998).

1.2 Recent Researches on Manipulating Deformable Objects

In recent years, the robotic manipulation of flexible objects has been demonstrated in a variety of applications. Manipulation of a deformable tube based on human demonstration (Hirai & Noguchi, 1997), wire manipulation (Nakagaki et al., 1997, Nakagaki, 1998), a study of manipulating a linear objects (Remde et al., 1999, Acker & Henrich, 2003, Schlechter & Henrich, 2002, Schmidt & Henrich, 2001, Yue & Henrich, 2002), one-handed knotting manipulation (Wakamatsu et al., 2002, 2004), handling of fabric objects (Ono, 1998), ticket handling (Itakura, 1998) and contact task on a flexible plate (Wu, et. al., 1997) have been developed.

In general, deformable objects display a wide range of responses to applied forces because of their different physical properties. Therefore, different control strategies are required for a robot to manipulate different kind of objects. Deformation model analysis is one of the fundamental methods available. Trials have been made of methods based on establishing a deformation model for robot manipulation (Wakamatsu & Wada, 1998, Hisada, 1998), and a state-transition method (Henrich , et. al., 1999, Remde, et al., 1999, Abegg, et al., 2000).

When a human manipulates a deformable object, he will actively combine visual information from his eyes with contact force information obtained by his hands. Vision-based state detection for a linear object (Acker & Henrich, 2003, Abegg, et al., 2000) and visual tracking of a wire deformation (Chen & Zheng, 1992, Nakagaki et al., 1997) have been reported.

Furthermore, a control method based on high-speed visual detection and visual/force sensor fusion was proposed to enable a robot to complete the task of inserting an aluminum peg held by a manipulator into an acrylic hole fixed on a deformable plate (Huang & Todo, 2001). In a continuing study, a stereovision-based compliance control enabling a robot arm to manipulate an unknown deformable beam object (Huang, et al., 2003) has been demonstrated, in which stereovision and force information were combined by an online learning neural network so that the robot could adjust its position and orientation in response to the deformation state of the unknown beam object.

1.3 Description of the Study in this Chapter

A robot with a flexible tool is considered the first choice for manipulating a fragile object. However, in contrast with the extensive literature on robot manipulation of rigid and deformable objects, the study of how to control a robot arm with a flexible tool has been neglected. Therefore, a great need exists to develop fundamental control methods for robots with flexible tools.

When a robot arm with a flexible tool is used to complete a contact task, deformation of the flexible tool always occurs so that the task can not be adequately completed by using solely the type of control methods designed for a robot with a rigid tool. As the position of the tool’s tip can not be computed from the robot’s kinematics, establishing a deformation model of the flexible tool is generally needed to estimate the position of the flexible tool’s tip.

In this chapter, a position/force hybrid control method that incorporates visual information is proposed to enable a robot arm with a flexible tool to complete a contact task. To detect the position of the flexible tool’s tip, two CCD cameras are used and a real time image processing algorithm is developed. Furthermore, an online learning neural network is introduced to the position/force hybrid control loop so as to improve the tracing accuracy of the flexible tool. An advantage of the proposed method is that establishing a deformation model of the flexible tool is not necessary.

With the proposed method, a flexible tool held by the end-effector of a 6 DOF robot is used to trace a given curve with a specified vertical pressing force.

2. Overview of the Robot System

The robot control system considered in this chapter includes a manipulator with seven degrees of freedom (PA-10, Mitsubishi Heavy Industry Co.), a force/torque sensor (10/100, B.L. Autotec Ltd.) for force detection, two compact CCD cameras (XC-EI50, Sony Co.) for stereo visual detection and a personal computer (Dimension XPS B733r, Dell Co.) for algorithm calculation. However, the redundant joint of the manipulator is fixed. A schematic diagram of the robot system is shown in Fig.1.

As shown in Fig.1, a cylindrical tube made from polyvinyl chloride (10.7 mm diameter) is used as the tool, and is held by the end-effector of the manipulator. The tip of the flexible tool is wrapped with a light-reflective tape for image detection. A infrared ring type radiators (IRDR-110, Nissin Electronic Co.) is set up in front of each camera’s lens.

The required task is for the flexible tool held by the robot to trace a given curve with a specified pressing force. As deformation of the flexible tool always occurs on contact, control of the flexible tool’s tip to make it trace a desired trajectory is very difficult.

In order to estimate the tool’s tip position, establishing a deformable model is usually considered.
Figure 1. The schematic diagram of the robot system with a flexible tool.
Therefore, some physical parameters like the stiffness and Young's modulus of the flexible tool are needed for model analysis. However, such physical parameters are usually unknown for many of the materials encountered in daily life.

3. Method of Image Processing

3.1 Real Time Image Processing

As shown in Fig.1, two synchronized compact cameras are used to construct a stereovision system. Each camera outputs images at the rate of 30 frames per second. For image input and processing, a graphic board with a C80 digital signal processor (GENESIS GEN/F/64/8/STD, Matrox Co.) is installed in the personal computer. Generally, real time image processing means that one frame image from a camera must be processed within the camera’s frame time so that image input and processing can be carried out simultaneously. Real time image processing is commonly achieved with parallel processing.

In this chapter, we use a method called double buffering to achieve parallel image processing. As shown in Fig.2, images are successively output from cameras 1 and 2. At times $n = 0, 2, 4, \ldots$, new images from cameras 1 and 2 are taken into buffers 11 and 21 respectively, while the last images saved in buffers 12 and 22 are processed. At times $n = 1, 3, 5, \ldots$, functions of the buffers are exchanged. Images from cameras 1 and 2 are taken into buffers 12 and 22 respectively, while the last images saved in buffers 11 and 21 are processed.

By using the parallel processing, a stereo image can be processed within the camera frame time $T_c$ (33.33 ms). Therefore, the tool tip’s position $p_t(n) \in \mathbb{R}^{3d}$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$) in the robot base coordinate $\Sigma_b$ can be detected at each camera’s frame time $T_c$. Thus, real time image processing is achieved.

![Figure 2. Parallel image processing in GENESIS using double buffering method](image-url)
3.2 Interpolation of the Image Detection Results

The robot control sampling period \( T \) is 5 milliseconds. At time \( t = kT \), the position of the flexible tool’s tip in the robot base coordinate \( \Sigma_b \) is assumed to be \( p_i(k) \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 1} \). By using the real time image processing method described in section 3.1, the tool’s tip position \( p_i(n) \) in \( \Sigma_b \) can be detected within the camera frame time \( T_c \). However, the image processing sampling period \( T_c \) is still longer than the robot control sampling period \( T \). Therefore, interpolation is necessary to convert the result \( p_i(n) \) of image processing to \( p_i(k) \) at every sampling period \( T \) for robot control. In the period \( T_n \), the position \( p_i(k) \) can be calculated by

\[
p_i(k) = \begin{cases} 
  p_i(n - 1) + (kT - (n - 1)T_c) \frac{p_i(n - 1) - p_i(n - 2)}{T_c}, \\
  & \text{if } (nT_c \leq kT < (n + 1)T_c), \\
  p_i(n) + (kT - nT_c) \frac{p_i(n) - p_i(n - 1)}{T_c}, \\
  & \text{if } ((n + 1)T_c < kT < (n + 2)T_c), \\
  p_i(n + 1) + (kT - (n + 1)T_c) \frac{p_i(n + 1) - p_i(n)}{T_c}, \\
  & \text{if } ((n + 2)T_c < kT < (n + 3)T_c), \\
  \cdots \\
  & \text{if } (k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots), (n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots). 
\end{cases}
\]

(1)

In equation (1), \( p_i(-1) \) and \( p_i(-2) \) are set equal to 0 for \( n = 0 \).
4. Generation of Desired Trajectory

If a rigid tool held by a manipulator is used to complete the task as shown in Fig. 1, the tool will not deform, so the position of the tool’s tip can easily be calculated from the kinematics of the manipulator. However, when a flexible tool is used, it deforms on contact so that the position of the tool’s tip cannot be directly computed from the kinematics of the manipulator. To explain the principle of the generation of the desired trajectory, a model is assumed as shown in Figs. 4 and 5, where the tool’s tip moves along the x axis with a constant pressing force in the z direction.

Figure 4. Moving end-effector of the robot forward with a distance d

Figure 5. Moving the flexible tool forward with a distance d
Further assumptions of the task are the following:
- The desired trajectory traced by the flexible tool is in a given plane.
- The orientation around the y axis of the end-effector shown in Figs.4 and 5 is kept to be unchanged at its initial value until the task is completed.

4.1 Desired Trajectory Generation of the Robot’s End-Effector

At time $t = kT$, when the end-effector of the robot moves by a distance $d$ from position $p_{hd}(k) \in \mathbb{R}^{3\times1}$ to position $p_{hd}(k+1)$ as shown in Fig.4, the variation $\Delta z$ along the $z$ axis of the end-effector caused by deformation will lead to the result that the flexible tool’s tip moves by the distance $d_1$ from position $p_t(k) \in \mathbb{R}^{3\times1}$ to position $p_t(k+1)$ rather than to the desired position $p_{td}(k+1)$.

As shown in Fig.5, in order to move the tool’s tip to the desired position $p_{td}(k+1)$ ahead of position $p_{t}(k)$ by the distance $d$, the end-effector of the arm should be moved by the distance $d_2$ from position $p_{h}(k)$ to position $p_{hd}(k+1)$ to compensate for the position error caused by the variation $\Delta z$ of the robot end-effector.

Here, we assume that the distance between the tool tip and the end-effector is $L_0$ when the tool presses against the contact surface with a constant force $f_{zd}$. At time $t = kT$, the desired position of the tool’s tip is expressed as

$$p_{td}(k+1) = [x_{td}(k+1), y_{td}(k+1), z_{td}(k+1)]^T,$$

and the angle between line $L_0$ and the $x$-$y$ plane is $\phi$. Thus, the moving direction vector $\vec{p}_{td}(k)$ of the tool’s tip can be computed by

$$\vec{p}_{td}(k) = \frac{p_{td}(k+1) - p_{td}(k)}{d},$$

where $d$ is given by

$$d = \sqrt{(x_{td}(k+1) - x_{td}(k))^2 + (y_{td}(k+1) - y_{td}(k))^2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The projection vector $\Delta p_L(k) \in \mathbb{R}^{3\times1}$ of the tool on the $x$-$y$ plane is

$$\Delta p_L(k) = L_0 \cos(\phi) \cdot \vec{p}_{td}(k),$$

where angle $\Phi$ can be calculated by

$$\phi = \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{z_{td} - \Delta z}{L_0}\right).$$

If the position error $\Delta p_t(k)$ of the tool’s tip is considered, the end-effector’s desired position in the $x$-$y$ plane is given by

$$p_{td}^{xy}(k) = p_{td}(k+1) + \Delta p_L(k) + \Delta p_t(k).$$

Therefore, the desired position $p_{hd}(k+1)$ of the end-effector is generated by

$$p_{hd}(k+1) = S_1 \cdot p_{td}^{xy}(k) + z_d \cdot s_1,$$

where $z_d$ is equal to the initial coordinate $z_0$, and matrix $S_1$ and vector $s_1$ are given by

$$S_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$s_1 = [0 \ 0 \ 1]^T.$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)
4.2 Desired Orientation of the Arm’s End-Effector

At time $t = kT$, the desired orientation of the end-effector is expressed as

$$r_{hd}(k) = [\alpha_d(k) \beta_d(k) \gamma_d(k)]^T,$$

where $\alpha_d(k)$, $\beta_d(k)$ and $\gamma_d(k)$ are the rotation angle of the end-effector around the $xb$ axis, $yb$ axis and $zb$ axis respectively, defined by the x-y-z fixed-angle method. If the end-effector pushes the tool to move along the desired curve as shown in Fig. 6, a twisting moment will cause the rotation of the tool so that the tool’s tip position will be uncontrollable.

![Figure 6. Rotation of the flexible tool caused by twist moment](image_url)

![Figure 7. Rotation avoidance by pulling the flexible tool](image_url)
In order to avoid rotation of the tool, a method of pulling the flexible tool with the end-effector is determined as shown in Fig.7. Therefore, the desired orientation of the end-effector is computed by

\[ \mathbf{r}_{nd}(k) = [\alpha_d \quad \beta_d \quad \tan^{-1}(\frac{y_{nd}(k)}{x_{nd}(k)})]^T \]  

(11)

Then, by equations (7) and (11), the desired position and orientation \( \mathbf{p}_{rd}(k+1) \) of the end-effector can be calculated by

\[ \mathbf{p}_{rd}(k+1) = S_p \cdot \mathbf{p}_{hd}(k) + S_r \cdot \mathbf{r}_{nd}(k), \]  

(12)

where

\[
S_p = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}, \quad
S_r = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

4.3 Desired Pressing Force

As a constant force is required for the tip of the flexible tool to press the contact surface, the desired force \( f(k) \) is determined by

\[ f(k) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ f_{zd}(k) \end{bmatrix}, \]  

(12)

where \( f_{zd} \) is the constant force along the \( zb \) axis. If the end-effector pushes the tool as shown in Fig.6, a large pressing force acting between the tool’s tip and the contact surface will increase friction so as to easily bring about rotation of the tool caused by the twist moment.

5. Hybrid Control Algorithm

5.1 Online Learning Neural Network

To complete the required task, position control in the \( xb-yb \) plane and force control along the \( zb \) axis are considered. However, unspecified factors such as friction at the tool’s tip and deformation of the tool will impair the tracing accuracy of the tool.

To improve the tracing accuracy of the tool’s tip in the \( xb-yb \) plane, two online learning neural networks are introduced, one each for the \( x \) and \( y \) directions as shown in Fig.8. For the neural network \( NNx \), the desired position \( x_{hd}(k) \), desired velocity \( \dot{x}_{hd}(k) \) and desired acceleration \( \ddot{x}_{hd}(k) \) are used as the input quantities. Just as in the \( NNx \), the desired position \( y_{hd}(k) \), desired velocity \( \dot{y}_{hd}(k) \) and desired acceleration \( \ddot{y}_{hd}(k) \) are used as the input quantities for the neural network \( NNy \). The position errors of \( \Delta x_r(k) \) on the \( xb \) axis and \( \Delta y_r(k) \) on the \( yb \) axis are used as error signals for the neural network’s learning.

The neural networks \( NNx \) and \( NNy \) have same 3-layer structure. The neurons in the input layer, hidden layer and output layer are designated \( NA, NB \) and \( NC \) respectively. The sigmoid function \( F(x) \) is given as
\[ F(x) = \frac{1 - e^{-x/\mu}}{1 + e^{-x/\mu}}, \]  

(13)

where \( \mu \) is the annealing parameter. Learning of the weighting coefficients is obtained with back propagation method [Cichocki & Unbehauen, 1993].

\[ x_{hd}(k) \rightarrow \text{Multilayer neural network} \rightarrow y_{hd}(k) \]
\[ \dot{x}_{hd}(k) \rightarrow \text{Multilayer neural network} \rightarrow \dot{y}_{hd}(k) \]
\[ \ddot{x}_{hd}(k) \rightarrow \text{Multilayer neural network} \rightarrow \ddot{y}_{hd}(k) \]
\[ \Delta x_r(k) \rightarrow \text{Multilayer neural network} \rightarrow \Delta y_r(k) \]

Figure 8. The proposed online learning neural networks

5.2 The Proposed Hybrid Control Method

A position/force hybrid control method using two online learning neural networks is proposed to enable a robot with a flexible tool to trace a given curve. The control block diagram is shown in Fig.9, where \( \Lambda \) is the kinematics, \( J \) is the Jacobian and \( R_f \) is the rotation matrix for calculating \( f_z \) from \( f_{env}(k) \) detected by the force/torque sensor.

Matrix \( S_2 \) and vector \( s_f \) are given as

\[
S_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad s_f = [0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]^T
\]

The PID controller \( G_p(z) \) of the position loop is networks

\[
G_p(z) = K_p + K_f^p \frac{z}{z-1} + K_d^p (1 - z^{-1})
\]

(14)

and the PID controller \( G_f(z) \) of the force loop is

\[
G_f(z) = K_p^f + K_f^f \frac{z}{z-1} + K_d^f (1 - z^{-1})
\]

(15)

For the position control loop in Fig.9, at time \( t = kT \), interpolation is made by equation (1) for the image detection result \( p(n-1) \) so as to obtain the tool tip’s position \( pt(k) \). After the projection of the vector \( \Delta p_L(k) \) on the x-y plane is calculated with equation (4), the desired position \( phd(k) \) can be generated by equation (7). Thus, the desired position and orientation \( prd(k) \) are computed by equation (12).

Position errors between the desired position \( prd(k) \) and the present position \( pr(k) \) calculated from the kinematics are used as error signals for the neural network’s learning.
Figure 9. The proposed position/force hybrid control algorithm with using the online learning.
For the force control loop, the desired force $f_{zd}$ and the contact force $f_z$ in the $z$ direction are compared, and the force error is input to the PID controller $G_f(z)$.

Then, the desired joint velocity $\dot{\theta}_d(k)$ is computed by using the inverse of Jacobian $J$ and is input to the servo driver of the manipulator.

6. Experiments and Discussion

Several experiments have been performed to prove the effectiveness of the proposed method as shown in Fig.9. The control parameters used in the experiments are given in Table 1.

$$K^p_p = \text{diag}[K^p_{p1}, K^p_{p1}, 0, K^p_{p2}, K^p_{p2}, K^p_{p2}]$$

$$K^p_{p1} = 5.01/\text{s}, \quad K^p_{p2} = 2.01/\text{s}$$

$$K^p_f = \text{diag}[K^p_{f1}, K^p_{f1}, 0, K^p_{f2}, K^p_{f2}, K^p_{f2}]$$

$$K^p_{f1} = 0.51/\text{s}, \quad K^p_{f2} = 0.21/\text{s}$$

$$K^p_D = \text{diag}[K^p_{D1}, K^p_{D1}, 0, K^p_{D2}, K^p_{D2}, K^p_{D2}]$$

$$K^p_{D1} = 0.11/\text{s}, \quad K^p_{D2} = 0.11/\text{s}$$

$$K^f_p = 0.001 \text{ m/}(\text{s}\cdot\text{N})$$

$$K^f_f = 0.0005 \text{ m/}(\text{s}\cdot\text{N})$$

$$K^f_D = 0.0001 \text{ m/}(\text{s}\cdot\text{N})$$

Table 1. Control parameters used in the experiments

6.1 Influences on Tracing Accuracy of the Tool Caused by Twist Moment (Without Applying Neural Networks and Orientation Control)

A circular trajectory is given in Fig.10. The radius $R$ of the circle is 0.1 meters. In order to investigate the influence of twist moments on the flexible tool, position control is imposed on the end-effector, while the orientation of the end-effector is fixed at its initial value. In this experiment, the stereovision detection and neural networks described in Fig.9 are not used.

Other parameters used are as follows.

For position/orientation control: $z_d = 0.750$, $\alpha_d = 0$, $\beta_d = 1.222$ rad, $\gamma_d = 0$.

For force control: $f_{zd} = 2 \text{ N}$.

Results for the end-effector’s position $p(k)$ and the tool’s tip position $pt(k)$ are shown in Fig.11. Because the tool’s deformation is not considered, a position error in the starting point caused by deformation of the flexible tool is observed in Fig.11. On the path through points $p_0$, $p_1$ and $p_2$ as shown in Fig.11, the tool’s tip follows the movement of the end-effector but a large position error is generated. Rotation of the flexible tool caused by a twist moment is not encountered on this route because the flexible tool is pulled by the end-effector. However, on the path along points $p_2$, $p_3$ and $p_0$, the end-effector pushes the flexible tool, so that a twist moment causes rotation of the tool. As a result, the tool’s tip is uncontrollable.

The above results demonstrate that the tool’s deformation and the effect of the twist moment must be considered.
6.2 Tracing Experiment (Without Using Neural Networks)

In order to avoid twist-moment-induced rotation of the flexible tool, it is pulled by the end-effector to trace the desired trajectory as shown in Fig.7. However, due to the arm’s mechanical structure, the end-effector can not rotate 360 degrees to trace the circular trajectory shown in Fig.10. Therefore, a sinusoidal curve is given as the desired trajectory in Fig.12. In this experiment, stereovision detection by real time image processing is used, but the neural networks described in Fig.9 are not included in position control. Other parameters are used as follows.

For position/orientation control: \(zd = 0.690, \varphi_d = 0, \beta_d = 1.047 \text{ rad.}\)
For force control: \(fzd = 0.5 \text{ N.}\)

The end-effector’s position \(p_h(k)\) and the tool’s tip position \(p_t(k)\) are shown in Fig.13. Compared with the results shown in Fig.11, the tool’s tip traces the desired sinusoidal curve with the movement of the end-effector. Furthermore, rotation of the flexible tool caused by the twist moment is successfully avoided by the proposed motion control of the end-effector.
as shown in Fig. 7. However, in Fig. 13, the maximum position error between tool tip’s position $p_t(k)$ and the desired trajectory $p_{td}(k)$ along the x axis is 8 mm, and the maximum position error between $p_{td}(k)$ and $p_t(k)$ along the y axis is 12 mm.

Figure 12. Desired trajectory of the tool’s tip

Figure 13. The tool tip’s position $p_t$ and end effector’s position $p_h$

6.3 Tracing Experiment Using the Proposed Method

In order to improve the tracing accuracy of the flexible tool, the proposed control method using online neural network learning is applied. The desired trajectory is same sinusoidal curve as shown in Fig. 12. Other parameters are as follows.
For position/orientation control: \( zd = 0.690, \alpha d = 0, \beta d = 1.047 \) rad.
For force control: \( fz d = 0.5 \) N.
The following parameters are used for the proposed neural networks.
Neuron number in the input layer \( NA = 3 \).
Neuron number in the hidden layer \( NB = 6 \).
Neuron number in the output layer \( NA = 1 \).
Annealing rate \( \mu = 1.0 \).
Learning rate \( \eta = 0.85 \).
The initial values of weighting coefficients of the neural networks are set randomly, and all weighting coefficients are saved in a file for continued learning in the next tracing. It is known that a number of trials are generally needed before the learning error converges [Liu & Todo, 1991]. Results of the error signals for the neural network’s learning are shown in Fig.14, where the learning errors settled near zero at the end of the first tracing after 10,000 trials. Furthermore, compared with the error obtained in the first tracing, the tracing accuracy is obviously improved in the second tracing.

![Figure 14. The error signals for neural network learning](image)

The tracing trajectories of the tool’s tip and the end-effector’s position in the x-y plane are shown in Fig.15, and result of the forces \( fz \) is shown in Fig.16. Compared with the results shown in Fig.12, the tracing accuracy of the tool’s tip is greatly improved. The maximum position errors between \( pt(k) \) and \( pt(k) \) on both the x and y axes are decreased to 4 mm, which is almost half of the error in the experiment without neural networks. Furthermore, the contact force between the tool’s tip and the surface is accurately controlled at the desired force \( fz d \).

7. Conclusions and Future Work

For robotic manipulation of a fragile object, using a flexible tool fixed to a robot arm is the obvious choice. However, a flexible tool deforms on contact and control of the flexible tool is difficult because the position of the tool’s tip cannot be calculated from the kinematics of the robot arm. We have developed a new approach that is not based on establishing a
deformation model to calculate the tool tip’s position, but that uses real time image processing with stereovision. Furthermore, an interpolation algorithm is proposed to convert the image-processing results detected in each camera frame time to the results used at every sampling period for robot control.
Visual detection is a convenient and effective way to obtain information on a deformable object with unknown parameters, which is generally required for deformation model analysis.

![Figure 15. The tool’s tip position \( p_t \) and end effector’s position \( p_h \)](image)

![Figure 16. The force results](image)
As shown in Fig.6, control of the deformable tool is difficult because twist moment will cause a rotation of the tool’s body so that position of the tool is uncontrollable. Therefore, pulling the flexible tool by the end-effector is considered. In this chapter, a position and force hybrid control method using visual and force information is proposed to enable a flexible tool held by a manipulator to trace a specified curve in a plane. To improve tracing accuracy, online learning neural networks are introduced to construct a feed-forward controller so that the position error of the tool’s tip is decreased. The proposed method is used for a manipulator with a flexible tool to trace a sinusoidal curve and its effectiveness is experimentally demonstrated.

For future work, the influence of the pressing force and the physical characteristics of the contact surface will be investigated. In this chapter, a method of avoiding tool rotation caused by the twist moment is proposed. In practice, because the friction coefficients of the contact surfaces vary greatly, any torque can easily cause rotation of the flexible tool. Therefore, a control strategy should be developed to adjust the end-effector’s orientation when rotation of the tool occurs. To achieve this control, the visual detection will first be improved by using a number of feature points on the tool body so as to obtain its deformation state. A control algorithm for the end-effector of the arm is also needed for adequate adjustment of the flexible tool.
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