1. Introduction

Broadband optical access services are already being deployed to realize fiber to the home (FTTH) networks that support high speed internet and video on demand services. The number of FTTH/B (building) subscribers is increasing rapidly throughout the world. It was about 61 million by the end of 2010 and is expected to reach 227 million by 2015 (IDATE, 2011). Broadband access network provision currently requires thousands of optical fibers to be accommodated in a central office (CO) for an optical access network. As we must respond to the huge demand for FTTH, we can no longer ignore the operation, administration, and maintenance (OAM) costs in addition to the construction cost (ECOC work shop 8, 2007). Optical fiber maintenance for outside plant is discussed in ITU-T SG6 and seven recommendations, L.25, L.40, L.41, L.53, L.66, L.68, and L.85 have been published. Recommendations L.25 and L.53 describe the fundamental need for optical fiber maintenance functions for preventive and post-fault maintenance. Fiber monitoring and fiber fault location are required as fundamental functions for optical fiber cable network maintenance. These functions make it possible to reduce both troubleshooting time and human resources when repairing damaged cable.

When we monitor an optical fiber network, the network configuration is a critical factor as regards the monitoring method. Passive optical networks (PONs) provide the main FTTH service based on GE-PON (Gigabit Ethernet PON) or G-PON (Gigabit-PON), where several customers’ optical network terminals (ONTs) share an optical line terminal (OLT) and an optical fiber (IEEE Std 802.3ah; ITU-T G984.1). The versatile optical fiber monitoring system uses optical time domain reflectometry (OTDR) to locate a fault by measuring an optical pulse response (e.g. Nakao, 2001). However, these PON systems use a simple power splitter near the customer's home to provide an economical IP service, therefore a conventional testing system, where an OTDR is installed in a central office, is unstable because Rayleigh backscattered signals from all the branched optical fibers accumulate in the OTDR trace. Several fiber fault location techniques have been proposed for use with the branched optical fibers of PONs. One example is the proposal by Sankawa et al. (Sankawa, et al., 1990). However, this approach is difficult to employ practically because the change in the loss value becomes small as the number of branches increases. The multi-wavelength OTDR technique uses an expensive arrayed waveguide grating to assign an individual testing wavelength to each branched fiber (Tanaka, et al., 1996). This method requires us to design
the characteristics of the waveguide for a specific communication light wavelength. A monitoring method that embeds the OTDR functions in each ONT (Schmuck, et al., 2006) cannot transmit a measured OTDR trace when the optical network has a fault.

This chapter is devoted to an in-service line monitoring system for branched PON fibers. Section 2 presents the basic concepts of an in-service line monitoring system for PON fibers. By employing individually assigned Brillouin frequency shifts (BFS) for the branching region and using a 1650 nm Brillouin OTDR (B-OTDR), we can detect the profile of each branching fiber. Section 3 discusses the design for the BFS separation of branching fibers taking account of the outside plant environment. BFS can be controlled by controlling the amount of dopant in the optical fiber core. The system dynamic range of in-service line PON fiber monitoring is the focus of Section 4 where we discuss 1650 nm B-OTDR and the transmission system power budget in GE-PON. Section 5 describes the measurement of a fiber with an 8-branched optical splitter using a 1650 nm B-OTDR and BFS assigned fiber in each branching region. The in-service line testing of a GE-PON transmission is demonstrated in Section 6.

2. In-service line monitoring system in PONs

2.1 In-service line monitoring technique using U-band test light for access network

An optical fiber line testing system is essential for reducing maintenance costs and improving service reliability in optical fiber networks. To perform maintenance system testing on in-service fibers without causing any degradation in the transmission quality, we use a test light whose wavelength is different from that of the communication light, and install a filter in front of the ONT that cuts off only the test light. Figure 1 shows the wavelength allocation. The ITU-T Supplement on the distributed service network defines the spectrum band for a wavelength division multiplexing (WDM) transmission system. A long wavelength band (L-band) that extends to 1625 nm is used for DWDM/CWDM transmission, and Recommendation G.983 defines the 1480-1580 nm band (in the S-band) for downstream G-PON and additional service signals to be distributed simultaneously. An ultra long wavelength band (U-band) of 1625-1675 nm can be used as the maintenance band for various services. When monitoring branched PON fiber, the technique must allow in-service line monitoring because other branched fibers accommodated in the same splitter may still be active.

Figure 2 shows the configuration of an optical fiber line testing system for PONs with an optical splitter installed in a central office (Sp-C) and an optical splitter installed in outside plant (Sp-O) near the customer's home (Enomoto, et al., 2005). This testing system comprises an optical testing module (OTM) that contains a B-OTDR, optical fiber selectors (FS) that select the fibers to be tested, an optical coupler to introduce test lights into an optical fiber line, and termination cables with an optical filter that allows a communication light to pass but not a test light. Termination filters are installed in front of the ONTs to cut off the test light. The pass band of the termination filter is designed to be 1260-1625 nm in accordance with the ITU-T L.41 recommendation. The control terminal orders the OTM to perform various optical fiber tests through a data communication network (DCN). This system automatically and remotely measures the optical fiber characteristics, and detects and locates faults in optical fiber networks.
Fig. 1. Wavelength allocation

Fig. 2. Configuration of optical fiber line testing system
2.2 PON fiber monitoring using fibers with individually assigned Brillouin frequencies

To enable us to monitor individual branched fibers, we install fibers with individually assigned BFSs in the branched region (Shimizu, et al., 1995; Honda, et al., 2006a; Honda, et al. 2006b). Figure 3 shows the basic configuration of a PON monitoring technique that uses 1650 nm B-OTDR for in-service line monitoring, and the Brillouin scattering light spectra in the branched region. When we input a test light, we can distinguish the Brillouin spectrum peak frequency \( \nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, \nu_n \) from each branched fiber. If the peak power of a Brillouin spectrum changes from its initial level, we can determine that the optical fiber with the BFS is faulty. Thus, we can measure the fault location in a branched region from the BFS peak power distribution of the test fibers.

Fig. 3. Fiber monitoring technique for PONs with branched fibers assigned with individual BFSs and BFS spectra in branched region

3. Design of Brillouin frequency shift assigned fiber

To clarify the operating area of the monitoring method proposed in section 2, we discuss the applicable PON branching number derived from the design of the BFS assigned identification fiber in the branched region.

3.1 Design of BFS separation of branched PON fibers for outside environment

The design of BFS assigned fiber is important if we are to realize a method for monitoring a branched PON. The BFS separation \( \Delta \nu \) of the fibers shown in Fig. 3 must be designed taking account of the temperature-induced fluctuation in the BFS, and strain dependent changes that occur in an outside environment. \( \Delta \nu \) can be described as...
\[ \Delta \nu \geq w + \Delta S \cdot C_S + \Delta T \cdot C_T \]  

(1)

Here \( w, \Delta S, C_S, \Delta T, \) and \( C_T \) are the full width at half-maximum (FWHM) of the Brillouin gain spectrum, the fluctuation strain and temperature, and their BFS coefficients, respectively. The Brillouin frequency shift depends on temperature and tension, and the values are 1.08 MHz/ºC and 500 MHz/1% strain at 1650 nm, respectively (Izumita, et al., 1997). Since the residual strain change in an optical fiber cable installed as a feeder section is less than 0.01% (Kawataka, et al., 2003), for simplicity we assume that the BFS change has negligible strain dependence. An outside plant is designed to operate in a -40 to 75 ºC temperature range (ITU-T L37).

Figure 4 shows the BFS spectra of branching PON fibers (BFSs = \( \nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \ldots \) and \( \nu_n \)) at temperatures of -40 and 75 ºC, and the BFS spectrum of fiber 5 at a typical room temperature of 25 ºC. Since the drop cables are accommodated in a customer's residence, we must consider a relative maximum temperature change of 65 ºC. Using a typical \( w \) value of 50 MHz (Azuma, et al., 1988) and a \( C_T \) of 1.08 MHz/ºC, we find that the BFS separation must be more than 120 MHz. To monitor the n-branched PON fiber, we need \( 120 \times (n-1) \) MHz BFS separation.

We can fabricate the large BFS fiber that we designed by adjusting the dopant concentration and taking account of the connection loss with single-mode fiber (SMF) by employing a germanium oxide (GeO\(_2\)) and fluorine (F) co-doping technique. We can assume that the saturation value of the aging loss increase of the fiber when F and GeO\(_2\) are co-doped is rarely different from the value for SMF (Iino, et al., 1989). Figure 5 shows that the design of the BFS for optical fibers depends on the GeO\(_2\) and F dopant concentrations in the fiber core. GeO\(_2\) and F were used to fabricate the identification fibers because as dopants their effects on the refractive index are opposite, but their effects on the BFS are the same, and they are also the most widely used dopants (Iida, et al., 2007). Assuming the maximum BFS separation that we can design is 1.5 GHz and \( \Delta \nu \) is 120 MHz, we can realize up to 13 kinds of BFS assigned fiber. This PON monitoring method employing BFS assigned fibers can be applied for a fiber network using 8-branched splitter in an outside plant.
Pure silica fiber with F-doped cladding
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Fig. 5. Design of Brillouin frequency shift for optical fibers depends on $\text{GeO}_2$ and F concentrations in optical fiber core.

4. Performance of testing system employing 1650-nm B-OTDR

In this section, to clarify the operating area of the PON fiber monitoring system, we discuss the system performance derived from the 1650 nm B-OTDR dynamic range and the loss of the optical fiber network.

When an optical test module employs an optical amplifier, the amplifier can be used to compensate for the loss of the optical coupler that introduces the test light. Therefore, the single-way dynamic range (SWDR) of a B-OTDR does not include a term for optical coupler loss. The SWDR of a conventional B-OTDR for measuring strain and temperature is described in ref. (Horiguchi, et al., 1995) and defined by Eq. (2).

$$SWDR = \frac{1}{2}(Pt + BS + Ts - Lc - R_{\min} + \frac{\text{SNIR}_{\text{ave}}}{2})$$  (2)

with

$$BS = 10\log(0.5 a_b S W vo)$$  (3)

$$Ts = 10\log\frac{2B}{\pi\Delta\nu}$$  (4)
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\[ R_{\text{min}} = \frac{Bh\nu}{\eta} \]  

where \( P_t \) is the incident peak power of the test light, \( L_c \) is the 1:1 coupler loss, and \( R_{\text{min}} \) is the sensitivity of a photo diode. \( BS \) is the Brillouin scattering coefficient. \( \alpha_b \) is the Brillouin backscattering coefficient given by \( 7.1 \times 10^{-30} / \lambda^4 \text{m}^{-1} \). \( Ts \) is the Brillouin scattering selection ratio, which indicates that the decrease in the received power is caused by a portion of the Brillouin scattering. To detect the frequency of a Brillouin scattering signal with a finite spectral linewidth, the signal is selected by an electrical band-pass filter with a bandwidth 2\( B \), and detected. \( SNIR_{\text{ave}} \) is the signal-to-noise (S/N) improvement achieved by averaging. The averaging number \( N \) improves the S/N by \( \frac{1}{2} \cdot 10 \log_{10} \sqrt{N} \) dB.

\( W \) is the pulse width, \( v_o \) is the velocity of light in an optical fiber, \( B \) is the receiver bandwidth, \( \Delta v \) is the Brillouin linewidth, \( h \) is Planck’s constant, \( \nu \) is the lightwave frequency, and \( \eta \) is the photodiode quantum efficiency. \( R_{\text{min}} \) is discussed as regards receiver sensitivity in coherent detection because it is suitable for measuring a small Brillouin scattering signal. When SNR=1, the minimum receiver sensitivity is as reported in (Koyamada, et al., 1992).

In (Horiguchi, et al., 1995), Horiguchi et al. consider the degradation caused by fluctuations in the strain and temperature in the single-way dynamic range (SWDR). However, when we use a B-OTDR to monitor the branched PON fibers, the BFSs of the fibers installed in the branched PON region are designed not to overlap each other. Therefore, the degradation caused by strain and temperature fluctuations can be negligible. The resulting large dynamic range is an advantage of this approach.

The required dynamic range for monitoring the branched PON fiber is derived from the maximum optical fiber loss between the OLT and ONT in a GE-PON, which is 24 dB (IEEE Std 802.3ah). With GE-PON, which provides the main FTTH service in Japan, an 8-branched optical splitter is deployed in an aerial closure near the customer’s home, and an optical coupler, which introduces the test light, is installed after a 4-branched splitter. The insertion loss in a central office caused by a 4-branched optical splitter, a coupler and connectors is about 7 dB, and thus the required SWDR for a B-OTDR is more than 17 dB.

5. Experiments and discussion

To confirm the feasibility of the in-service line PON monitoring method, we measured the BFS spectra and B-OTDR traces and carried out an in-service line-monitoring test.

5.1 Branched PON fiber monitoring

The B-OTDR technique illustrated in Fig. 6 has a 1651.3 nm coherent light generated by a 10 kHz linewidth narrowed DFB laser diode that is divided into probe and reference lights. The probe light is modulated with a lithium niobate (LN) modulator. Then its signal power is amplified in a two-stage 1650 nm amplifier consisting of a thulium doped fiber amplifier (TDFA) and a Raman amplifier. The modulated probe light was amplified in Tm-Tb doped fiber (TDF) in the TDFA with a 1220 nm pump light of 49.7 mW. The core and cladding of the Tm-Tb doped fiber contained 2000 parts per million (ppm) of Tm and 4000 ppm of Tb, respectively, and the fiber length was 13 m. The refractive index difference between the core
and the cladding was 3.7% and the core diameter was 1.8 µm. This configuration achieves a very high gain, and makes measurement with a 1650 nm B-OTDR possible. Figure 7 shows the measured B-OTDR trace at 10.17 GHz in an optical fiber consisting of 10 km of SMF, Sp-O(8), and 5 km of SMF with a test light pulse with a peak power of 26 dBm, a 100 ns pulse width, and a $2^{22}$ averaging time. We obtained an SWDR of 17.22 dB. For an input power of 26 dBm, the required averaging number using Eq. (2) ~ (5) is calculated to be $2^{12}$. The difference between the experimental and calculated averaging numbers was because the two-stage high gain amplifier has a large noise figure, which meant that its amplified spontaneous emission power degraded the sensitivity of the receiver. A larger averaging number is needed to improve the SWDR.

Figure 8 shows the experimental setup for measuring the Brillouin backscattering signal with a 1650 nm test light for an optical fiber line with an 8-branched optical fiber. The optical coupler loss for the test light was 1.06 dB and the incident peak power to the test
fiber was controlled at 26 dBm. We used eight kinds of fibers for the lower region of the optical splitter as BFS assigned fibers and their dopant concentrations are plotted in Fig. 5. The cores of fibers 1-4 were co-doped with GeO$_2$ + F, fibers 5-7 were doped with GeO$_2$ and fiber 8 was pure silica fiber that had F doped in its cladding. We realized eight kinds of BFS fiber with a maximum separation of 1.5 GHz. Figure 9 shows the BFS spectra measured 550 m from the B-OTDR. The eight BFS peaks at 9.055, 9.380, 9.535, 9.730, 9.825, 9.990, 10.095 and 10.435 GHz clearly indicate the existence of fibers 1-8. Figure 10 a) and b-i) show the B-OTDR traces obtained before and after the optical splitter, respectively. The traces in b-i) clearly show the length of the BFS assigned fibers after the splitter. To simulate the fault identification process, the fiber of branch #6 fiber was intentionally bent with a loss of 4.1 dB.

Fig. 8. Experimental setup with 1650 nm band B-OTDR for measuring PON branching region.

Fig. 9. Measured BFS spectra for 8-branched region 550 m from B-OTDR.
Fig. 10. B-OTDR trace a) between optical coupler and 8-branched splitter, b)-i) 8-branched PON fiber region
60 m from the optical splitter. Traces with the bending loss overlaid are shown in Fig. 9. There was hardly any difference between the BFS peak power traces of b)-f) and h)-i). However, trace g) shows a 4 dB bending loss. This loss agreed with that measured with an optical power meter. This reveals the great advantage of this PON fiber measurement method, namely that it can locate a fault and measure the loss value in branched PON fiber.

Figure 11 shows a measured trace of a branching fiber with two connections that had 0.32 and 0.18 dB losses caused by the MT connector. When we undertook measurements using a conventional OTDR, the calculated bending and connection losses were 0.078 and 0.044 dB, respectively, in the OTDR trace because of the accumulation of Rayleigh backscattered signals from all the branched optical fibers (Sankawa, et al., 1990). This reveals the great advantage of this measurement method using individually assigned BFS fibers in the PON branching region.

![Fig. 11. Detail of B-OTDR trace in PON branching region with two connections](image-url)

### 5.2 In-service line monitoring

The technique used for monitoring the PON branching fiber must allow in-service line monitoring because other branched fibers accommodated in the same optical splitter may still be active. To avoid any deterioration in the transmission, the difference between the optical powers of the communication light and the test light adjacent to the ONT should be sufficiently smaller than the signal to noise ratio (ITU-T Recommendation L.66). A termination filter that cuts off the test light is installed in front of the ONTs as mentioned in section II. The attenuation value of the termination filter LF for the test light is determined by considering the communication system margin (RS/X).

\[
LF > Pt - Ps + RS/X, \quad (6)
\]

These parameters were logarithmically transformed. Ps and Pt, respectively, are the optical powers of the OLT and the test light just after the optical coupler. As regards the
communication and test lights, Hogari et al. reported that the optical losses are slightly different in optical access networks (Hogari, et al., 2003), namely, $P_t - P_s$ is equal to the power difference between the communication and test lights at the optical coupler that coupled them. Each system has particular specifications and these can include the minimum output power of the transmitters, the minimum sensitivity of the receivers and the $S/X$ ratio. In GE-PON, the minimum average power of the communication light from an OLT is defined as $+2$ dBm (peak power $+5$ dBm) (IEEE Std 802.3ah), and the insertion loss in a central office is about $7$ dB as mentioned in Section III B. The maximum peak power of the test light was $26$ dBm, and so $LF > 28 + RS/X$. When we use an ONT with an $RS/X$ of $10$ dB, $LF$ must be more than $38$ dB. The typical insertion loss of a termination cord with a fiber Bragg grating (FBG) filter is shown in Fig. 12.

![Typical loss of FBG filter in front of ONT](image)

Fig. 12. Typical loss of FBG filter in front of ONT

Figure 13 shows the experimental setup for in-service line monitoring of GE-PON. A 1492.25 nm signal light with an average power of $4.9$ dBm from an OLT was controlled at $2.0$ dBm (minimum average launch power for an OLT) by an attenuator, and launched into the experimental fiber line. The fiber line consisted of 4-branched fiber, an optical coupler, a 10 km SMF, and a 200 m BFS assigned fiber (#6). We also installed an FBG filter in front of the receiver port of the ONT as shown in Fig. 13. The 1492.25 nm signal power in front of the ONT was changed by an attenuator to control the insertion loss of the fiber line. The 1650 nm test light was introduced by the optical coupler and coupled with the signal light. Characteristic features of a GE-PON receiver are that the maximum sensitivity and bit error rate (BER) are $-24$ dBm and $10^{-12}$ or better (IEEE Std 802.3ah). When we evaluate the transmission quality in a GE-PON system, Ethernet frame analysis using a LAN analyzer without retransmission processing is a simple and convenient method for measuring the frame error rate (FER). We used a LAN analyzer to create 64 byte packets of $100$ Mb/s with the smallest possible packet interval of $0.96$ μs, and simultaneously transmitted the packets at a maximum throughput of 148809 packets/sec. The FER characteristics with and without the B-OTDR test light are shown in Fig. 14. These results indicate that in-service fault location did not affect the transmission characteristics at either signal wavelength. The FER almost corresponds to the BER when certain conditions are satisfied: 1) each error packet has no error or only a 1-bit error, and 2) the packet layer protocol has only an error detection
Fig. 13. Experimental setup for in-service line monitoring

![Experimental setup for in-service line monitoring](image)

ATT: Attenuator
BS-F: BFS assigned fiber
x-Sp: X-branched optical splitter

Fig. 14. FER measurement with and without in-service line monitoring using 1650 nm OTDR test light.

function and has no error recovery function such as forward error correction or retransmission (Ishikura, et al., 1999). The relationship between FER and BER is given by

\[
FER = 1 - (1 - BER) \quad \text{Frame length[bits]} 
\]

(7)
A BER of $10^{-12}$ corresponds to an FER of $5.1 \times 10^{-10}$. In-service line monitoring was demonstrated under error free conditions in GE-PON. The PON monitoring method can perform the test with negligible degradation in transmission quality.

6. Conclusion

I introduced an in-service measurement technique for monitoring PON fibers with individually assigned Brillouin frequency shifts and an optical fiber line testing system including 1650 nm Brillouin-OTDR. BFS assigned fiber can be designed for 8-branched PON fibers that have a 1.5 GHz BFS separation. Moreover, the 1650 nm band optical fiber line testing system measured B-OTDR traces with a dynamic range of 17 dB. Fault location carried out in branching fiber demonstrated that we could determine the length of eight BFS assigned fibers after an optical splitter. The PON monitoring system can also detect and locate connection losses in branching regions with high sensitivity. In-service line monitoring of a GE-PON transmission was achieved using a 1650 nm test light, and the degradation in transmission quality was negligible. These techniques enable us to isolate a fiber fault, reduce maintenance costs and improve service reliability for access networks.
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