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1. Introduction

Liver biopsy is important in the perioperative management of liver transplantation with regard to the preoperative evaluation of donor liver graft, especially to rule out steatotic liver, and the postoperative diagnosis of acute cellular rejection (ACR), especially to differentiate ACR from other causes of liver dysfunction. In both situations, liver biopsy is mandatory to confirm the diagnosis.

The recent increases in metabolic syndrome and diabetes mellitus in the general population have led to an increased incidence of liver steatosis, even in donors without a history of excessive alcohol intake. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) includes a broad spectrum of liver injuries that resemble alcoholic hepatitis, ranging from simple steatosis to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH). NASH is the progressed stage of NAFLD and further progression results in fibrosis and cirrhosis, which might also be an indication for liver transplantation. ACR is one of the most serious adverse events after transplantation. It is often difficult to distinguish it from recurrent hepatitis C virus (HCV), and prompt treatment with an appropriate diagnosis is important.

In this chapter, the indications for liver biopsy and the histologic findings for the diagnosis of NAFLD and ACR are described.

2. NAFLD

The pathophysiology of NAFLD is yet to be fully elucidated, although the two-hit story proposed by James et al. is widely accepted. In their hypothesis, insulin resistance is the first hit, resulting in the production and accumulation of triglycerides in the liver due to dysregulated lipogenesis and lipolysis. Further, oxidative stress and lipid peroxidation as the second hit leads to hepatic injury, inflammation, and fibrosis by multiple cytokines and adipokines. The prevalence of NAFLD is therefore associated with metabolic syndrome and will thus continue to increase in developed countries. Previous autopsy studies in Western countries reported the incidence of NAFLD as 16% to 64% of the population. The incidence in the Asian-Pacific region is also increasing and is currently 10% to 30%.

Therefore, hepatectomy or transplantation for NAFLD related cirrhosis or hepatocellular carcinomas will likely increase. In addition, because NAFLD is usually asymptomatic and the diagnosis can be confirmed only by biopsy, the possibility to encounter the liver donor with NAFLD will also increase. Whether hepatic steatosis is associated with impaired liver regeneration or an increased risk of morbidity or mortality after liver surgery is
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controversial. Selzner and Clavien\textsuperscript{8} showed impaired liver regeneration in steatotic livers using rat models. Similarly, impaired regeneration of steatotic liver after large hepatectomy or portal vein ligation was reported in subsequent rat model experiments.\textsuperscript{9,10} In the clinical setting, Kooby et al.\textsuperscript{11} evaluated the outcomes of hepatic resection in 160, 223, and 102 patients with no, mild (<30%), and marked (≥30%) steatosis, respectively, and showed that preoperative comorbidity, steatosis, blood loss, and resection of one lobe or more were independent predictors of postoperative morbidity.

Vauthey et al.\textsuperscript{12} reported that steatohepatitis induced by irinotecan-based chemotherapy is associated with an increased risk of 90-day mortality after hepatic resection for colorectal metastases. Further, the Ki-67 labeling index in patients with NASH was smaller than that in patients with fatty liver or HCV, although the difference was not statistically significant. Considering that NAFLD is a progressive disease ultimately resulting in liver cirrhosis, liver-related surgery must be performed with special attention to the patient’s safety. Safety is the first priority in any patient, and especially in living organ donors. In general, most transplantation centers do not accept live donors with histologic liver steatosis of greater than 30%.\textsuperscript{14,15} Actually one donor death with NASH has been reported\textsuperscript{15}. The controversy surrounds whether all liver donor candidates should undergo liver biopsy because diagnosis of NAFLD can be made only by histopathologic examination. Body mass index (BMI) is widely regarded as a predictor of liver steatosis. Rinella et al.\textsuperscript{16} reported that no hepatic steatosis was observed among biopsy specimens of live-liver donor candidates with a BMI of less than 25 kg/m\textsuperscript{2}, while hepatic steatosis was found in 76% of candidates with BMI greater than 28 kg/m\textsuperscript{2}. On the other hand, other studies\textsuperscript{17,18} demonstrated that 7% to 26% of donor candidates with a BMI of less than 25 kg/m\textsuperscript{2} had hepatic steatosis. Yamashiki et al. recently proposed the following criteria for donor biopsy: an aspartate aminotransferase/alanine aminotransferase ratio of less than 1, BMI of at least 25, and ultrasonography findings suggestive of steatosis. Based on these criteria, liver biopsy was indicated for 25% of their referred Japanese donor candidates, and hepatic steatosis of at least 10% was revealed in 12% of the donor candidates. Further, they evaluated the visceral fat area measured from a single CT slice image at the level of the umbilicus. Receiver operating characteristic curve analysis showed that a visceral fat area of at least 96 cm\textsuperscript{2} predicted steatosis of 10% or more with a sensitivity and specificity of 78% and 87%, respectively\textsuperscript{19}.

NASH can be an indication for liver transplantation, but it also can recur or even occur de novo in the transplanted liver graft. In general, immunosuppression with corticosteroids, calcineurin inhibitors, or sirolimus is associated with body weight gain, insulin resistance, and hyperlipidemia. Therefore, post-transplant patients are susceptible to developing NAFLD. Poodad et al.\textsuperscript{20} reported de novo NAFLD that occurred within 3 months of liver transplantation in 4 of 88 patients. Later, Seo et al.\textsuperscript{21} evaluated the incidence and predictors of de novo NAFLD among 68 recipients. De novo NAFLD was diagnosed in 12 patients (18%) based on follow-up biopsy specimens 11 to 51 months after transplantation. NASH was diagnosed in 6 patients (9%). Multivariate analyses showed that a BMI increase of more than 10% was a risk factor and the use of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors was associated with reduced risk of de novo NAFLD. Although NAFLD in one of the patients in Poodad’s report showed improvement following treatment with ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA)\textsuperscript{20}, a subsequent randomized control trial\textsuperscript{22} showed no therapeutic effect of UDCA.
for the treatment of NASH compared to placebo. To date, there is no established treatment to improve NASH, and prevention should be the first priority.

3. Histology

The important factor in the diagnosis of NAFLD is the differentiation of NASH from simple steatosis or steatosis with inflammation. For this purpose, several scoring systems have been proposed to date. Histologic characteristics of NASH include (1) macrovesicular steatosis, (2) hepatocellular ballooning and disarray, (3) intra-lobular inflammation, (4) portal tract inflammation, (5) Mallory’s hyaline bodies, (6) acidophil bodies, (7) PAS-D Kupffer cells, (8) glycogenated nuclei, (9) lipogranulomas, and (10) hepatocellular iron. Brunt et al. evaluated these variables semiquantitatively and proposed three grades (mild, moderate, and severe) for necroinflammatory changes. Fibrosis was evaluated separately and scored as stage 1, zone 3 perisinusoidal/pericellular fibrosis; stage 2, zone 3 perisinusoidal/pericellular fibrosis with focal or extensive periportal fibrosis; stage 3, zone 3 perisinusoidal/pericellular fibrosis and portal fibrosis with focal or extensive fibrosis; and stage 4, cirrhosis. Promrat et al. demonstrated the histologic improvement of NASH by pioglitazone, which is an insulin-sensitizing agent, and introduced another scoring system. In this system, six factors; steatosis, hepatocellular injury (ballooning degeneration/apoptosis/dropout cells), parenchymal inflammation, portal inflammation, fibrosis, and Mallory bodies, were evaluated and each was scored semiquantitatively from 0 to 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Steatosis grade</td>
<td>&lt;5%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5%-33%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;33%-66%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;66%</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lobular inflammation</td>
<td>No foci</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;2 foci</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-4 foci</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;4 foci</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ballooning degeneration</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Few</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Many</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Kleiner’s scoring system for the diagnosis of NAFLD. The sum of the scores (ranging 0-8): 0-2, not NASH; ≥ 5, NASH

These scoring systems, however, emphasize NASH and did not encompass the entire spectrum of NAFLD. Later, the Pathology Committee of the NASH Clinical Research Network proposed a NAFLD activity scoring system that addressed the full spectrum of NAFLD and this was reported by Kleiner et al. in 2005. In this study, 14 variables in 5 broad categories; steatosis, inflammation, hepatocellular injury, fibrosis, and miscellaneous features, were evaluated in 32 adult and 18 pediatric liver biopsy specimens by 9 pathologists. Based on the intra-rater and inter-rater agreement analysis and multivariate analysis for the association of the variables with a diagnosis of steatohepatitis, the NAFLD activity index was defined as the sum of the scores of three variables; steatosis, lobular inflammation, and ballooning (Table 1). Although fibrosis is considered an independent
predictor, it was not included because it is less a reversible change and more a result of disease activity than a feature of injury activity.

4. Acute cellular rejection

Acute cellular rejection (ACR) is suspected when liver function tests worsen. At the University of Tokyo, liver transplant recipients undergo postoperative blood chemistry daily or every other day during hospitalization, and once every 2 weeks or once a month in the outpatient clinics. If all liver function data (aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase, gamma-glutamyltranspeptidase, alkaline phosphatase, and total bilirubin) are elevated compared with previous levels and bile duct complications have been ruled out by ultrasound, biopsy is indicated. There are no serum markers specific for ACR and biopsy is mandatory to confirm the diagnosis. In contrast to biopsy for the donor candidates, biopsy for the diagnosis of ACR should not be delayed because ACR may result in chronic rejection, which is characterized by ductopenia or atrophy and pyknosis of the bile duct epithelium with parenchymal severe cholestasis, and graft loss. Because ACR can be treated by immunosuppression, prompt and accurate diagnosis is important.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Portal Inflammation</td>
<td>Mostly lymphocytic inflammation involving a minority of the triads.</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lymphocyte infiltration to most or all of the triads.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mixed infiltration to most or all of the triads with inflammatory spillover into the periportal parenchyma.</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bile duct inflammation</td>
<td>A minority of the ducts are cuffed and infiltrated by inflammatory cells and show only mild reactive changes.</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Most or all of the ducts infiltrated by inflammatory cells.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>More than an occasional duct shows degenerative changes.</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>As above for 2, with most or all of the ducts showing degenerative changes or focal lumenal disruption.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venous endothelial inflammation</td>
<td>Subendothelial lymphocytic infiltration involving some portal and/or hepatic venules.</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subendothelial infiltration involving most or all of the portal and/or hepatic venules.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>As above for 2, with moderate or severe perivenular inflammation that extends into the perivenular parenchyma and is associated with perivenular hepatocyte necrosis.</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Banff scheme for rejection activity index

In general, the diagnosis of ACR is confirmed and graded into four classes according to the Banff scheme (Grade 0 [G0]: no evidence of rejection; Grade 1 [G1]: mild rejection; Grade 2 [G2]: moderate rejection; and Grade 3 [G3]: severe rejection). This grading system is based on the degree of portal infiltration of lymphocytes (P0-3), bile duct inflammation or damage (B0-3), and venous endothelial inflammation (V0-3) (Table 2).
5. Eosinophilia as an aid to diagnose ACR

To facilitate the diagnosis of ACR, the efficacy of blood and/or histologic eosinophilia has been reported in several studies\(^{29-38}\) (Table 3). In these studies, sensitivity and specificity of blood eosinophilia to predict ACR before biopsy were reported to be 32% to 96% and 63% to 97%, respectively, while those of histologic eosinophilia were 54% to 92% and 65% to 98%, respectively. Further, the correlation of eosinophilia with the severity of ACR, or a decrease of blood eosinophil count in response to treatment was reported in most of these studies\(^{39,40}\), although the effect of steroids alone to downregulate eosinophils cannot be ignored.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>N (Biopsy specimens)</th>
<th>AEC &gt; 300/mm(^3)</th>
<th>REC &gt; 4%</th>
<th>Correlation with ACR</th>
<th>Correlation with ACR</th>
<th>Correlation with ACR</th>
<th>Correlation with ACR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Foster et al.</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ben-Ari et al.</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mazzaferri et al.</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maccagnani et al.</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>AEC &gt; 300/mm(^3)</td>
<td>REC &gt; 4%</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terada et al.</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>AEC &gt; 300/mm(^3)</td>
<td>REC &gt; 4%</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kane et al.</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>AEC &gt; 300/mm(^3)</td>
<td>REC &gt; 4%</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kasai et al.</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>AEC &gt; 300/mm(^3)</td>
<td>REC &gt; 4%</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Summary of the studies evaluating blood and histologic eosinophilia with the diagnosis of acute cellular rejection (ACR). AEC, absolute eosinophil count; REC, percentage of eosinophil count in the whole leukocyte count.
Notably, blood eosinophilia a few days before biopsy is associated with ACR. Although rather low sensitivity is a problem, careful monitoring of the differential leukocyte count may contribute to the early detection of ACR. On the other hand, histologic eosinophilia predicts ACR with rather high sensitivity and specificity. Gupta et al. validated the inclusion of eosinophilia in addition to portal inflammation, endothelialitis, and bile duct damage for the grading of ACR and proposed the Royal free hospital (RFH) scoring system. In this system, the highest eosinophil count in a portal tract is graded as the follows: none (score 0), 0; mild (score 1), 1-4 cells; moderate (score 2), 5-9 cells; severe (score 3), 10 or more cells. Kishi et al. evaluated histologic eosinophilia as the maximum eosinophil count per portal tract (Emax) and the rate of portal tracts that included at least one eosinophil (E(+) rate), and demonstrated that both were associated with ACR as well as with ACR severity. This finding was later validated in another study by Demirhan et al., in which marked eosinophilia assessed as Emax and E(+) rate correlated with ACR severity and response to treatment.

6. Differentiation from HCV recurrence

Differential diagnoses of ACR include recurrent or new-onset viral hepatitis by HBV, HCV, cytomegalovirus, or Epstein-Barr virus, autoimmune hepatitis, primary biliary cirrhosis, or primary sclerosing cholangitis. Among them the differentiation from recurrent HCV is difficult especially in the early postoperative period because histologic features overlap,

but is critical because the treatment strategy is completely opposite. To date, several studies have evaluated the interobserver agreement for the differential diagnosis of ACR and recurrent hepatitis C. Regev et al. evaluated the interobserver and intraobserver agreement among five experienced pathologists for the diagnosis of 102 biopsy specimens. The results indicated that both the interobserver and the intraobserver agreement were relatively low, with Kappa scores ranging from 0.20 to 0.24 for interobserver agreement and from 0.19 to 0.42 for intraobserver agreement, indicating only slight to moderate agreement. Netto et al. reported the results of a multiinstitutional study to evaluate the agreement on the diagnosis of 11 biopsy specimens based on the Banff schema ACR scoring system and Batts and Ludwig schema for HCV staging by 17 pathologists. The results showed a Kappa score of 0.62 to 0.76 for interobserver agreement on the diagnosis of ACR or HCV, indicating substantial or almost perfect agreement.

In general, pathologists tend to overdiagnose ACR rather than HCV recurrence. Leung et al. reported a case of histologically diagnosed ACR that improved only by interferon and ribavirin therapy, and suggested that histologic characteristics traditionally associated with ACR might represent early recurrent HCV. Barnes et al. reported that HCV-positive patients with ACR are less likely to have blood eosinophilia than HCV-negative patients with ACR. They thus proposed that the eosinophil response might be suppressed in HCV-positive patients with ACR, and that ACR might be overdiagnosed if based on histopathology in patients with normal eosinophil levels. Similarly, Kishi et al. reported that HCV-positive patients diagnosed with ACR had significantly higher blood eosinophil counts on the day of biopsy than HCV-positive patients without ACR. These findings indicate that measures of blood eosinophil levels might contribute to the differential diagnosis of ACR in HCV-positive recipients.

Several blood or histologic markers have been proposed to facilitate the differentiation between ACR and recurrent HCV. Unitt et al. reported that minichromosome maintenance
protein-2 (Mcm-2) visualized by immunohistochemical staining in lymphocytes infiltrating into the portal tracts is more frequently expressed in ACR than in HCV recurrence. The number of Mcm-2–positive lymphocytes in the portal tract was not correlated with the ACR grade, but a cut-off of 107 positive cells per portal tract distinguished ACR from HCV with a sensitivity of 82% and a specificity of 92%. MacQuillan et al. performed immunohistochemical analysis to evaluate the expression of MxA protein, which belongs to the class of guanosine triphosphatases and is a marker of activation of the type 1 interferon pathway. The findings demonstrated strong hepatocellular MxA staining in 78% of HCV recurrence and in 30% of ACR biopsy specimens.

Typical histologic features of recurrent hepatitis C include lobular disarray, Kupffer cell hypertrophy, hepatocyte apoptosis, mild sinusoidal lymphocytosis, mononuclear portal inflammation, macrovesicular steatosis involving periportal and midzonal hepatocytes. In chronic hepatitis, lobular changes wane and portal inflammation increases. Occasionally, nodular portal-based lymphoid aggregates are formed with emerging necroinflammatory and ductular-type interface activity. Further, fibrosing cholestatic hepatitis (FCH), which is clinically featured by rapidly progressive jaundice and extremely high HCV viral loads, may occur and is fatal in most cases. The incidence of FCH among the recipients who underwent liver transplantation for HCV-related cirrhosis is reported to be 6 to 14%. Histologically, FCH is characterized by extensive fibrosis with immature fibrous bands extending from the portal tracts to the sinusoidal spaces, prominent canalicular and hepatocellular cholestasis, ground-glass transformation, ballooning of hepatocytes with cell loss, and a mild mixed inflammatory reaction may occur. A small case series reported that a certain proportion of patients with FCH might respond to interferon plus ribavirin with or without conversion of tacrolimus to cyclosporine A. Increased immunosuppression as a treatment for ACR is an important cause of FCH.
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Liver biopsy, first performed by Paul Ehrlich in 1883, remains an important diagnostic procedure for the management of hepatobiliary disorders and the candidate/donated organ for transplantation. The book "Liver biopsy in Modern Medicine" comprises 21 chapters covering the various aspects of the biopsy procedure in detail and provides an up-to-date insightful coverage to the recent advances in the management of the various disorders with liver biopsy. This book will keep up with cutting edge understanding of liver biopsy to many clinicians, physicians, scientists, pharmaceutics, engineers and other experts in a wide variety of different disciplines.
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