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1. Introduction

Atrial fibrillation (AF), the most commonly encountered arrhythmia in clinical practice, is associated with significant morbidity and mortality. Of great significance are heart failure and stroke.1 With increased incidence and prevalence of AF, it represents a growing clinical and economic burden. AF is also a progressive disease secondary to continuous structural remodeling of the atria because of AF itself, to changes associated with ageing and to deterioration of underlying heart disease. Current management aims at preventing the recurrence of AF and its consequences and includes risk assessment and prevention of stroke, control of ventricular rate and rhythm control therapies including antiarrhythmic drugs and catheter or surgical ablation.

2. Classification

The nomenclature used to classify AF has been diverse. AF can be acute (first detectable episode whether symptomatic or not) or chronic (paroxysmal, persistent, and long-standing persistent), or finally permanent. According to a consensus document2, paroxysmal AF is defined as at least two episodes that terminate spontaneously within 7 days. Persistent AF is defined as lasting more than 7 days, or lasting less than 7 days but necessitating pharmacologic or electrical cardioversion. Permanent AF is defined as lasting more than 1 year. These definitions apply only to episodes that last at least 30 seconds and have no identifiable reversible cause, such as acute pulmonary disease or hyperthyroidism. Both paroxysmal and persistent atrial fibrillations are potentially recurrent arrhythmias. Paroxysmal atrial fibrillation may become persistent with time; and both paroxysmal and persistent AF may become permanent.

The term “lone atrial fibrillation” refers to AF in young people (aged under 60) in whom no apparent cause can be identified.

3. Pathophysiology

The exact mechanisms by which cardiovascular risk factors predispose to AF are not understood fully but are under intense investigation. Catecholamine excess, hemodynamic
stress, atrial ischemia, atrial inflammation, metabolic stress, and neurohumoral cascade activation are all purported to promote AF. Although the precise mechanisms that cause AF are incompletely understood, AF appears to require both an initiating event and a permissive atrial substrate. AF results from multiple re-entrant electrical wavelets that move randomly around the atria. These wavelets are initiated by electrical triggers, commonly located in the myocardial sleeves extending from the left atrium to the proximal 5-6 cm portions of the pulmonary veins. Other sites in the left and right atria and in the proximal superior vena cava may less frequently trigger atrial fibrillation. Once triggered, the atrial tissue harbors these wavelets and promotes re-entry, thus facilitating persistence of the arrhythmia. A period of AF initially induces electrophysiological changes (“electrical remodeling”) followed by structural changes (“structural remodeling”), which facilitate its persistence- hence the phrase “atrial fibrillation begets atrial fibrillation”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common causes of Atrial Fibrillation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cardiovascular</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rheumatic heart disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary artery disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestive heart failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non rheumatic valvular heart disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sick sinus syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolf-Parkinson-white syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pericarditis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endocarditis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiomyopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congenital heart disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-cardiovascular</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endocrine disorders (e.g., Hyperthyroidism)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory causes (e.g., pneumonia, pulmonary thromboembolism)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol and drug use</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Atrial fibrillation with poor ventricular rate control can cause electrical and structural remodeling of the ventricle, leading to ventricular dilatation and impairment of systolic function, known as “tachycardia induced cardiomyopathy”. Stroke and thromboembolism are a major cause of mortality and morbidity associated with AF, and the underlying pathophysiological basis of this is a prothrombotic or hypercoagulable state, in association with abnormalities of blood flow (atrial stasis, for example) and endothelial or endocardial damage.

The concept of primary prevention of AF with interventions targeting the development of substrate and modifying risk factors for AF has emerged as a result of recent experiments that suggested novel targets for mechanism-based therapies. Upstream therapy refers to the use of non-antiarrhythmic drugs that modify the atrial substrate- or target-specific mechanisms of AF to prevent the occurrence or recurrence of the arrhythmia. Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEIs) and angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) may be effective in AF prevention in patients with hypertension, left ventricular hypertrophy, and congestive heart failure, as well as in post myocardial infarction patients with depressed left ventricular function. Also statins and omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids, and possibly corticosteroids. Animal experiments have compellingly demonstrated the protective effect of these agents against electrical and structural atrial remodeling in association with AF. The key targets of upstream therapy are
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4. Management of AF

AF management creates a high economic burden because of the concomitant presence of heart failure, coronary artery disease, hypertension, and the need for frequent hospitalizations. Expensive antiarrhythmic drugs and interventional procedures are other important factors that raise the costs of AF care.

Four major aspects should be considered in the AF management:

i. Symptom control by slowing ventricular response during paroxysmal or persistent AF and long-term rate control in permanent AF

ii. Cardioversion to sinus rhythm

iii. Maintenance of sinus rhythm after successful cardioversion

iv. Prevention of complications and thromboembolic events.

Rhythm versus Rate Control

In order to prevent the complications and symptoms of AF two main strategies exist.

1. Rhythm control: converting the patient’s rhythm to sinus and maintaining the sinus rhythm.

2. Rate control: slowing the ventricular response rate without insisting on conversion to sinus rhythm.

The initial therapy after onset of AF should always include adequate antithrombotic treatment and control of the ventricular rate. The goal is to control the ventricular rate adequately whenever recurrent AF occurs.

From a theoretical point of view, converting AF into sinus rhythm is the best option. Nonetheless; the most important trials reported in the existing literature thus far have mentioned no significant difference in terms of quality of life and other outcomes between the two strategies. It seems that the side effects of antiarrhythmic agents (pro-arrhythmia) in the long term, poor efficacy of drugs in the maintenance of sinus rhythm, and inappropriate discontinuation of anticoagulants in the patients who still have AF episodes can interfere with good results in the rhythm-control arm. Therefore, many experts believe that rhythm control with safe antiarrhythmic drugs or catheter ablation will play an important role in the AF management.

The main agents for slowing ventricular response in AF are beta blockers, calcium channel blockers, and digoxin. Beta-blockers and calcium channel blockers are first-line agents for rate control in atrial fibrillation. These drugs can be administered either intravenously or orally. They are effective at rest and with exertion. Caution should be exercised in patients with reactive airway disease who are given beta-blockers. Digoxin is sometimes used in the acute setting but does little to control the ventricular rate in active patients. As such, it is rarely used as mono therapy. The therapeutic window for digoxin as mono therapy for rate control is narrow and would typically yield toxic levels. Thus, there may be circumstances...
that this drug is used as adjunctive therapy to beta-blockers or calcium channel blockers. Caution should be exercised in elderly patients and those with renal failure receiving digoxin. Digoxin is indicated in patients with heart failure and reduced LV function.

Amiodarone has a Class IIa recommendation from the ACC/AHA/ESC for use as a rate controlling agent for patients who are intolerant of or unresponsive to other agents. Caution should be exercised in those not receiving anticoagulation as amiodarone can promote cardioversion.

Criteria for rate control vary with patient age but usually involve achieving ventricular rates between 60 and 80 bpm at rest and between 90 and 115 bpm during moderate exercise.

The potential benefits of strict (resting heart rate <80 bpm, heart rate <110 bpm during moderate exercise) versus lenient (resting heart rate 110 bpm) rate control were addressed in the RACE II trial of patients with permanent AF. The RACE II study shows that lenient rate control <110 bpm is not inferior to strict-rate control <80 bpm. As lenient-rate control is generally more convenient, requiring fewer outpatient visits and examinations, lenient-rate control may be adopted as a reasonable strategy in patients with permanent AF. In the AFFIRM study, there was no survival difference between rate-control and rhythm-control strategies. In addition, the lower risk of adverse drug effects in the rate-control arm conferred some advantages in this arm. A post-hoc analysis of the AFFIRM data proved that there was no significant benefit in the rhythm-control group versus the rate-control group in patients with AF and left ventricular dysfunction.

In the RACE study, there was no survival difference between rate-control and rhythm-control strategies. In addition, the lower risk of adverse drug effects in the rate-control arm conferred some advantages in this arm. A post-hoc analysis of the AFFIRM data proved that there was no significant benefit in the rhythm-control group versus the rate-control group in patients with AF and left ventricular dysfunction.

As a result of atrial remodelling, the longer the duration of AF the less successful is the cardioversion. Predictors of recurrence of AF include long standing atrial fibrillation (duration greater than three months), heart failure, structural heart disease, hypertension, increasing age (over 70), and increased left atrial size.

Although left atrial size is related to the duration of AF, a left atrial diameter greater than 5 cm is associated with an increased risk of recurrence.

Cardioversion carries a 5-7% risk of thromboembolism without anticoagulation and a 1-2% risk after conventional anticoagulation. Prolonged anticoagulation is not needed when patients present within 48 hours of onset of AF. Such patients may be safely cardioverted irrespective of whether heparin has been administered since presentation. Administration of heparin is recommended to all patients with an acute presentation, however, to allow flexibility in subsequent management of the arrhythmia.
For stable patients, in whom the onset of AF is uncertain or greater than 48 hours, anticoagulation for a minimum of three weeks before cardioversion is recommended, to allow resolution of potential thrombi. As atrial mechanical activity may not resume concurrently with electrical activity, anticoagulation should be continued for at least four weeks after cardioversion.

An alternative approach is to use transoesophageal echocardiography to exclude atrial thrombi before cardioversion is attempted. The presence of an atrial thrombus necessitates four to six weeks of anticoagulation before cardioversion. Even with this strategy, anticoagulation should be continued for at least four weeks after cardioversion.

4.2 Pharmacological cardioversion
Pharmacological cardioversion should be reserved for haemodynamically stable patients with symptoms. In general, class I and class III antiarrhythmic agents are commonly used for pharmacological cardioversion and maintenance of sinus rhythm. In AF episodes lasting less than 48 hours cardioversion rate for class IC and III drugs is approximately 60-80%.

In a randomised trial comparing flecainide, propafenone, and amiodarone for cardioversion of recent onset AF, conversion to sinus rhythm occurred in 90%, 72%, and 64% of patients respectively. Class IC drugs (flecainide and propafenone) should be avoided in patients with underlying ischaemic heart disease or impaired left ventricular function. Amiodarone can be used in such patients, although the time to conversion can range from days to weeks.

Ibutilide is a class III antiarrhythmic agent that can convert AF to sinus rhythm more rapidly than can procainamide or sotalol. It has been shown that ibutilide has no significant advantage compared with amiodarone for the conversion of AF but severe hypotension was not seen with ibutilide. For acute AF, conversion to sinus rhythm with ibutilide is about 59%, but there is 1.7% risk of polymorphic ventricular tachycardia with this drug. As a result, it is advised to keep the patients receiving ibutilide under monitoring for at least 24 hours after the infusion of this drug.

Dofetilide is another class III antiarrhythmic drug that can be used for maintaining sinus rhythm in congestive heart failure patients with AF. The DIAMOND CHF trial showed that it could reduce hospitalization due to heart failure. Heart failure worsening was reduced by 25%. Dofetilide is known to be more effective in patients with persistent AF compared with those with paroxysmal AF, and significant proarrhythmic adverse effects can occur even with close monitoring.

Vernakalant is an atrial-selective drug treatment for atrial fibrillation which affects Na+ and several K+ channels in the heart. Vernakalant is most often used intravenously to stop recent-onset AF. A long-term oral preparation, however, is in development. Several placebo-controlled studies have shown vernakalant to be effective in eliminating AF in about 50% of patients with limited side effects. In these studies, vernakalant was most effective for treatment of recent-onset AF, but rarely effective at all for long-standing AF. Common side effects of vernakalant include nausea, sneezing and dysgeusia. The FDA has recommended vernakalant as an intravenous treatment for recent-onset AF.

The ‘Pill-in-the-pocket’ approach may be used in selected, symptomatic patient with infrequent episodes of AF. Oral propafenone (450-600mg) or flecainide (200-300mg) is taken when symptoms of AF occur.
4.3 Electrical cardioversion
Synchronised external direct current cardioversion is a safe procedure with success rates of 70-90%\textsuperscript{19}. It is used acutely in patients who are haemodynamically compromised or electively as an alternative to pharmacological cardioversion. Electrical cardioversion is usually done under conscious sedation. If this is unsuccessful, adjunctive antiarrhythmic treatment with class III agents such as dofetilide, sotalol, and amiodarone can help to restore sinus rhythm.

4.4 Maintenance of sinus rhythm
Class III antiarrhythmic agents have an important role as a part of cardioversion strategy and maintaining sinus rhythm. Amiodarone, which is the hallmark drug in this group and most frequently used antiarrhythmic drug for AF treatment, is a relatively safe and effective drug but frequent adverse effects like thyroid dysfunction, pulmonary fibrosis, dermatological changes, and ophthalmic involvement have been reported with its long-term use\textsuperscript{20}.

Dronedarone is a benzofuran-derivate of amiodarone with the same electropharmacological profile\textsuperscript{36} but without side effects on the pulmonary system\textsuperscript{21}. It has a shorter half-life than amiodarone (1 - 2 days). The recommended oral dose of dronedarone is 400 mg twice a day with meals. The ANDROMEDA study was terminated prematurely because of increased mortality due to the worsening of heart failure in the dronedarone group. Therefore, dronedarone is contraindicated in patients with moderate to severe heart failure\textsuperscript{26}. The major adverse cardiac effects of dronedarone are bradycardia and QT prolongation. Torsades de pointes have been reported\textsuperscript{22}. Cases of rare but severe hepatic injury associated with use of dronedarone reported. Periodic monitoring of liver-function test should be done especially in the first six months of treatment.

Dronedarone was approved by the American FDA in March, 2009, for sinus-rhythm maintenance in patients with a history of atrial fibrillation/flutter with ejection fraction greater than 35%.

4.5 Reduction of thromboembolic risk
Atrial fibrillation can predispose clot formation in the left atrium and consequently ischemic stroke and extra cranial thromboembolism\textsuperscript{33}. When stroke occurs in association with atrial fibrillation, patients have a greater mortality and morbidity, longer hospital stays, and greater disability than those without AF. If AF persists for two days, left atrium thrombosis could be seen in 5 - 14% of patients\textsuperscript{34}. It might, subsequently, become fragmented and embolize to the peripheral atrial system\textsuperscript{35}.

Pooled data from trials comparing antithrombotic treatment with placebo have shown that warfarin reduces the risk of stroke by 62% (95% confidence interval 48% to 72%) and that aspirin alone reduces the risk by 22% (2% to 38%). Overall, in high risk patients, warfarin was better than aspirin in preventing strokes, with a relative risk reduction of 36% (48% to 72%). The risk of major haemorrhage with warfarin was twice that with aspirin\textsuperscript{36}.

Anticoagulation treatment needs to be tailored individually for patients on the basis of age, comorbidities, and contraindications. In patients with valvular heart disease or high-risk individuals (according to the CHADS\textsubscript{2} or CHA\textsubscript{2}DS\textsubscript{2}VASc scoring), warfarin is the drug of choice. In low-risk conditions, aspirin can be used\textsuperscript{37}. 

www.intechopen.com
Oral anticoagulation therapy with warfarin proved superior to clopidogrel plus ASA for prevention of vascular events in AF patients. Treatment with clopidogrel plus ASA was associated with bleeding risk similar to treatment with warfarin. In the ACTIVE-A trial, AF patients for whom oral anticoagulation with warfarin was considered unsuitable, the addition of clopidogrel to ASA reduced the risk of major vascular events, especially stroke, and increased the risk of major hemorrhage.

Dabigatran is a new, potent, direct and competitive inhibitor of thrombin. Its half-life is 12 to 17 hours, and it does not require regular monitoring. AF patients receiving Dabigatran 110 mg twice daily had similar rates of stroke and systemic embolism compared with those using warfarin, but with lower rates of major bleeding. At a dose of 150 mg twice daily, the rate of stroke and systemic embolism is lower but the rate of major bleeding is similar to warfarin.

Apixaban, a novel factor Xa inhibitor, was tested in the AVERROES trial in patients unsuitable for warfarin therapy and at increased risk of stroke. The trial was stopped prematurely because of clear benefit in favor of apixaban, compared to aspirin.

4.6 Non-pharmacological therapy

Many non-pharmacological treatments have been developed for the management of AF and some even afford a possible “cure”.

4.7 Radiofrequency catheter ablation

The past decade has witnessed radiofrequency catheter ablation of AF evolve from an experimental procedure to an important treatment option for many patients with AF. Randomized controlled trials now confirm that left atrial ablation is superior to antiarrhythmic drug therapy in maintaining sinus rhythm over time.

Curative catheter ablation techniques initially attempted to mimic the lesions created by the surgical Maze procedure, resulting in limited success with a substantial complication rate. In 1998, Haissaguerre et al. first demonstrated that pulmonary veins (PVs) provided focal firings triggering the occurrence of paroxysmal AF. They showed that as many as 94% of such triggers originated from the PVs and that the elimination of these foci by radiofrequency (RF) energy applications in the PVs could cure the paroxysmal form of AF, which became the cornerstone of curative ablation of AF. However, it turned out that high recurrence rates of AF and late development of PV stenosis were often associated with this procedure. Subsequently, a more advanced technique attempting to isolate the PV muscle sleeves form the left atrium evolved.

Among various procedures to isolate the PV muscle sleeves from the LA initially employed by several investigators, two approaches predominated: namely, segmental ostial ablation at sites where localized conductions between the PV and the LA were electrophysiologically identified, and anatomically guided circumferential PV ablation encircling individual PVs.

Presently, almost all centers empirically isolate all four PVs not at the ostium but outside the tubular portion of the PV to avoid the risk of venous stenosis and improve procedural efficacy. Because the PV is funnel-shaped with a large proximal end (referred to as the antrum), which blends into the posterior wall of the LA, isolation of the PV and the surrounding antral tissue has become the current goal of this procedure.

In order to eliminate the substrate for maintaining AF, the efficiency of two additional adjunctive ablation strategies of PV isolation have been described. The linear lesions are
made at the roof between the contralateral superior PVs (roof line) and at the isthmus between the mitral valve and the left inferior PV (mitral isthmus line)\textsuperscript{46, 47}. This concept improved the AF-free ratio from 69 to 87% in paroxysmal AF cases, although epicardial RF applications were required in 60% of cases to achieve the mitral isthmus block.

Currently, the most popular method for AF substrate modification in the atrium is to apply RF energy and create lesions targeting the areas with complex fractionated atrial electrograms (CFAEs)\textsuperscript{48}. CFAEs are believed to represent slow conduction or pivot points where wavelets turn around at the end of arcs of functional blocks. Although the concept of this method is well accepted, its role in ablation strategies has not yet been fully established. CFAE ablation targets only the substrate to perpetuate AF, and only modest efficacy of this method alone for chronic AF has been reported so far\textsuperscript{49}. More recently, CFAE ablation has been achieving a general consensus as one of the combination strategies for modifying AF substrates.

Ablation treatment is successful in approximately 60% to 70% of patients that 10% to 40% of patients require a second ablation procedure, and that 10% to 15% still need antiarrhythmic drugs\textsuperscript{50}.

Success rates for catheter-based ablation are lower in patients with persistent atrial fibrillation than in those with paroxysmal AF. In addition, the chances of a successful outcome are lower in those with marked dilation of the left atrium. Oral and colleagues\textsuperscript{51} reported 75% recurrence rate in patients with persistent AF, compared with 29% in patients with paroxysmal AF.

4.8 Ablation strategy for chronic atrial fibrillation
Multiple strategies of various procedures, including PV isolation, anatomy- or electrogram-guided left-atrial ablation, linear ablation and thoracic vein isolation, have been developed. Each strategy performed alone has been shown to yield similar rates of outcome (50–70% success), suggesting the various co-existing targets and factors as the modifiers of the AF substrates. Haïssaguerre et al. developed stepwise multifaceted ablation method for chronic AF, which could integrate different (electrogram- and anatomy based) approaches\textsuperscript{55-57}. They combined the approaches of PV isolation, electrogram-based ablation targeting CFAEs, linear ablation at the LARooof and the mitral isthmus and right atrial ablation (in some cases). Up to now, no single strategy is uniformly effective in patients with persistent and long-standing persistent AF.

4.9 Indication for catheter ablation
Catheter ablation should generally not be the first-line therapy for atrial fibrillation. The primary indication for it is symptomatic atrial fibrillation that is refractory to at least one class 1 or class 3 antiarrhythmic drug or the inability of a patient to tolerate these drugs. Another indication is in patients in whom rapid atrial fibrillation is determined to be the cause of tachycardia-mediated cardiomyopathy resulting in heart failure, reduced ejection fraction, or both. Studies have been performed in which catheter ablation was used as first-line therapy. The expert consensus committee\textsuperscript{2} recognized this but did not fully support the practice. The decision to proceed with catheter ablation must be individualized on the basis of the risk of complications, the likely benefits, and the likelihood of success. Recent guidelines have class I recommendation for ablation in selected patients with significantly symptomatic paroxysmal AF and failed treatment with an antiarrhythmic drug.
and have normal or mildly dilated left atria, normal or mildly reduced LV function, and no severe pulmonary disease, when performed in experienced centers. An absolute contraindication to catheter ablation is left atrial thrombus. Because of the risk of dislodging an existing thrombus during the procedure and causing a stroke, patients with persistent atrial fibrillation who are in atrial fibrillation at the time of the procedure should undergo trans esophageal echocardiography to screen for thrombus.

4.10 Complications of RFA
The most common complication associated with catheter ablation of AF is symptomatic or asymptomatic pulmonary vein stenosis (defined as a >70% reduction in lumen diameter), with an overall incidence of 1.6%. Somewhat less common are cardiac tamponade (0.7%), pericardial effusion (0.6%), periprocedural stroke (0.3%), and periprocedural transient ischemic attack (0.2%). The overall mortality rate is 0.7%.

It is clear that catheter ablation is more effective than AAD therapy in treating AF. However because of associated complication catheter ablation of AF should be considered after a patient has failed attempts at treatment with 1 or more AAD.

4.11 The surgical maze procedure
Surgeons were the first ones to treat AF effectively and reverse it to sinus rhythm. James Cox described a series of surgical procedures known as Cox-Maze technique. The maze procedure is based on the concept that a critical mass of atrial tissue is needed to allow multiple waves of depolarisation to spread. This surgical approach was directed to divide both right and left atria by a series of cuts and sutures to redirect the electrical impulse to close-end paths. This operation also included the exclusion of both atrial appendages and the isolation of the four pulmonary veins and the posterior wall of the left atrium. Nowadays, cryotherapy, bipolar radiofrequency, and ultrasounds are the most used energy sources. Although very effective, with over 91% patients maintaining sinus rhythm at 10 years, few surgical groups performed the Cox-Maze procedure due to the aggressiveness of it, with long suture lines and prolonged myocardial ischemic times. Preoperative AF is associated with worse survival rates after valvular or coronary surgery. Furthermore, patients with successful maze procedures have shown better long-term survival rates, higher freedom from stroke, and thromboembolic events, improved ventricular ejection fraction and exercise tolerance. All the above factors have expanded the indications for the surgical treatment of concomitant AF to most patients with coronary or valvular surgery. In addition, minimally invasive approaches have been described in the last five years with very good results for isolated paroxysmal or persistent AF. Nevertheless, prospective randomized trials are necessary to confirm their long-term results, compared to catheter ablation.

4.12 Pacing for atrial fibrillation
Atrial-based pacing, in either single- or dual-chamber mode, reduced the incidence of AF in several prospective multicenter studies. More recently, a variety of preventive atrial pacing strategies, including continuous overdrive pacing, pacing in response to atrial premature beats, postmode switch and postexercise pacing therapies, were developed to reduce the burden of AF among patients with known atrial tachyarrhythmias. However, the magnitude of AF prevention due to dedicated preventive pacing algorithms and the identification of responder candidates remains unclear.
4.13 Atrioventricular node ablation
Atrioventricular (AV) node ablation provides highly effective control of ventricular rate in patients with AF. Catheter ablation of AV node is a palliative but irreversible procedure and is therefore reasonable in patients in whom pharmacological rate control, or rhythm control with drugs and/or ablation therapy has failed. In such patients, AV node ablation improves quality of life and renders mortality similar to death rates in the general population. It is reasonable to assume that patients with LV systolic dysfunction may require biventricular pacing after AV node ablation to prevent deterioration of LV function. In patients without LV dysfunction, it is not established at present whether biventricular pacing is needed: some data suggest that biventricular pacing may be beneficial, while others demonstrate similar benefits with right ventricular pacing.

4.14 Obliteration of the left atrial appendage
The left atrial appendage (LAA) is considered the main site of atrial thrombogenesis. Thus, occlusion of the LAA may therefore be an effective way to reduce thromboembolic risk. Surgical closure is recommended only as an adjunctive procedure in patients undergoing mitral valve surgery. However, devices have been developed that allows percutaneous LAA closure via the transeptal approach. This may be appropriate for patients who are not suitable for anticoagulation. Further trials are needed to evaluate its long term safety and efficacy.

4.15 Rheumatic valvular heart disease and atrial fibrillation
AF is frequently associated with rheumatic valvular heart disease (RVHD). Valvular heart disease is one of risk factors for development of AF. The frequency of RVHD has decreased in developed but RVHD constitutes a significant burden on healthcare in developing countries. The risk of thromboembolism in patients with RVHD and AF is high. The stroke risk increases 17-fold if patients have rheumatic heart disease and AF, compared with age-matched controls. AF worsens hemodynamics in patients with RVHD as absent atrial kick and irregular ventricular rhythm lead to a fall in cardiac output. Results of randomized trials evaluating strategies for heart rate control or rhythm control is not necessarily acceptable for patients with RVHD and AF, because the majority of patients in these trials were non-RVHD. In patients with RVHD and AF, the maintenance of sinus rhythm can be expected to improve mortality and QOL. It is suggested that in RVHD and AF if there is no significant valvular compromise necessitating intervention and if the left atrium size is not more than 6.0 cms, rhythm control with amiodarone facilitated by electrical cardioversions should be the strategy. If attempts to maintain SR fail over one year’s time, rate control measures should suffice. In young patients and those with RVHD but no significant valve compromise, restoring and maintaining SR should be attempted. Patients receiving mechanical valve replacement need to continue anticoagulant therapy. It is unclear whether or not the maintenance of sinus rhythm influences clinical outcome for thromboembolism in these patients. However, the maintenance of sinus rhythm is important patients receiving tissue valve replacement, or balloon intervention, because they are likely to discontinue anticoagulant therapy.

If AF persists, electrical and pharmacologic cardioversion are effective in restoring sinus rhythm, and the administration of antiarrhythmic drugs may be effective in preventing
AF. However, once AF has developed in patients with RVHD, these drugs may not be effective in restoring sinus rhythm because of the pathological changes that develop in the atrium and predispose to AF.

Surgery for AF should be utilized for patients with associated RVHD undergoing valve surgery. The probability of spontaneous conversion to SR after isolated mitral valve surgery is less than 10%. Patients who return to SR after mitral valve replacement or repair demonstrate better survival and freedom from adverse events.

After the success of the Cox maze III procedure in treating AF, several surgeons began to add the maze procedure as an adjunct to mitral valve surgery to treat both problems. Successful restoration of SR has been achieved in 70-96% of patients.

5. Conclusion

Recent developments in pharmacological and non-pharmacological therapy have opened a new horizon in management of AF. Cure of AF has, however, become a realistic goal albeit in limited number of patients and will remain a challenge for years to come.
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Currently, aortic stenosis is the most frequent heart valve disease in developed countries and its prevalence increases with the aging of the population. Affecting 3-5 percent of persons older than 65 years of age, it makes a large personal and economical impact. The increasing number of elderly patients with aortic stenosis brings advances in all medical specialties dealing with this clinical entity. Patients previously considered too old or ill are now indicated for aortic valve replacement procedures. This book tries to cover current issues of aortic valve stenosis management with stress on new trends in diagnostics and treatment.
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