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1. Introduction

There is strong evidence that the behaviour of living systems is subject to biological clocks which can be considered as mutually coupled oscillators. These applications of oscillators were studied since very early (Minorsky, 1962; Pavlidis, 1973). In case of biological systems like algae populations or micro-organism cultures their varying growth rate and other living system activities are liable, first of all, to the diurnal cycles of light irradiation as the decisive model input. The living systems adopt these cyclic conditions as their inner circadian rhythms and exert a specific tendency to maintain their rhythm even if the cyclic external influences change their period or shape. In this way the model of system entrainment to circadian rhythms is based on the idea of nonlinear resonance phenomenon. The circadian rhythms, also referred to as internal biological rhythms, play a role as temporal regulatory pacemakers practically in any activity of living species, but their mechanism remains still largely unknown (Ditty et al., 2009). Experimental studies and mathematical modelling have demonstrated that circadian pacemakers working on periods close to 24 hours can be modelled as limit cycle oscillators (Pavlidis, 1973; Winfree, 1970; Wever, 1970). Typically a pacemaker model implementation involves a Van der Pol oscillator as a limit cycle generator influencing the model of population growth (Fišer et al., 2008). Then this circadian pacemaker structure can be identified with the experimentally obtained data. A part of the recent research in cyanobacteria growth modelling has been already described in the previous paper (Fišer et al., 2006), where an algae population growth is investigated.

In selecting a suitable oscillator for circadian pacemaker application the ability of the system to adapt its frequency and the shape of cycles according to the exogenous cyclic inputs, is to be kept in view in particular. Thus any oscillator considered as the pacemaker has to be endowed with the property that its limit cycle oscillations change gradually in frequency and shape according to the cyclic influences. These influences comprise light irradiance and other ambient inputs particularly temperature and nourishment supply (Johnson et al., 2004).
Beside the analytical nonlinear schemes mentioned above a chemical oscillator has already been developed as generator of circadian rhythms (Miyoshi et al., 2007), and its operation was tested on rhythms in cyanobacteria. The structure of this oscillator is relatively complex and the aim of this chapter is to find an approximation of the Miyoshi oscillator by a Van der Pol type oscillator for substituting its function by a simpler scheme in modelling the timing influence on the diurnal cycles in the cyanobacteria growth.

2. Experimental data acquisition

The authors’ own data material used for working out the model presented below, originates from experiments with unicellular, diazotrophic cyanobacterium *Cyanothece*, sp. ATCC 51142. The experiments were performed in a laboratory-scale bioreactor, developed by Photon Systems Instruments, Ltd. (Fig.1). Due to a programmable source of variable irradiance in this device and due to other adjustable experiment conditions artificially formed diurnal cycles can be provided and the consequent circadian rhythms in cyanobacteria culture can be observed and recorded. The used bioreactor provides capability to generate artificially defined cultivation conditions with controlled distribution of CO₂ nourishment, inevitable for obtaining consistent culture growth data. Furthermore, a controlled heating and/or cooling allows to maintaining a desired temperature for cultivation. Continuously recorded outputs include temperature, optical density and fluorescence emission representing the culture production performance. Among other artificial perturbations of the culture growth changes of the nourishing gas composition can be provided. Particularly the carbon dioxide concentration changes can be applied in the experiments. As the model output the optical density of cyanobacteria culture in 735 nm, as a parameter proportional to concentration of cyanobacteria culture, is used (Nedbal et al., 2008).

![Fig. 1. Prototype of cultivation device](image-url)
3. Population growth model

Among other approaches to modelling the population growth, the models based on Volterra population equation are applied. Their recent applications used to include the delay effects resulting from the age structure of the described population into the model (Cushing, 1993; Iannelli, 1994). The delayed Volterra model of population growth is usually referred to in a functional form which can be found in Kuang, (1993). To express the ageing influence on the inhibition of population growth an extension of the delayed Volterra model has been introduced by Fišer et al., (2007).

Consider the population growth model described by the delayed Volterra-type system (Červený et al., 2007)

\[
\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = \left( \mu(x, y, I, \theta) - \int_0^\tau x(t-\tau)dA(\tau) - \int_0^\tau \frac{dx(t-\tau)}{dt}dB(\tau) \right) x(t)
\]  

(1)

where \(x\) is the cyanobacteria concentration in the culture, \(I\) is the incident light intensity, \(\theta\) is the temperature of nourishing medium and \(\mu(x, y, I, \theta)\) is the specific rate of cell growth. This growth rate is also affected by the timing activity of the biological clock of the culture represented by the cyclic variable \(y\) as the output of oscillator described below. The functions \(A(\tau) > 0\), \(B(\tau) > 0\) are delay distributions, \(\tau \geq 0\), and \(T\) is the maximum delay length. As to the timing action its impact on the specific growth rate is considered in the following separated form

\[
\mu(x, y, I, \theta) = \mu_p(x, I, \theta) + \mu_c(y)
\]  

(2)

where \(\mu_p\) expresses the specific growth rate based on Monod kinetics and \(\mu_c\) is a growth rate increment originating from the clock oscillator. The variable \(y\) is the output of a chemical cyclic action explained in Section 4 which controls the circadian rhythms. Due to the dimensional homogeneity its influence on the growth rate is supposed as proportional to one of the state variable derivatives of the oscillator in Section 4

\[
\mu_c(t) = C \frac{dy(t)}{dt} = C \frac{d[CPKaiC_{C_0}]}{dt}
\]  

(3)

where \(C\) is a proportional gain coefficient.

The value of the main component \(\mu_p\) of the growth rate results from both the Monod kinetics and Lambert-Beer law as follows

\[
\mu(x, I, \theta) = \mu_{\text{max}} \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{I}{I_{\text{sat}}}} \right) p(\theta)
\]  

(4)
where $I_a$ is the average light intensity inside the culture given by

$$I_a(x, I) = \frac{I_{sat}}{k_x} \left[ 1 - e^{-k_x x} \right]$$

where $I_{sat}$ is a saturation light intensity, and $p$ is an auxiliary function expressing the dependance of the growth rate on the temperature. The parameters $k_x, k_w$ determine the light absorption in cyanobacteria culture and glass wall, respectively (for more details see Li et al., 2003).

In the next the main attention is paid to circadian rhythm issue, i.e. the cyclic influence of $\mu_c(y)$ on the cyanobacteria growth rate.

### 4. Miyoshi chemical oscillator

The functional structure of circadian oscillator in cyanobacteria on molecular level was discovered by Ishiura et al. (1998). The experiment data were measured on _Cyanothece sp._ while one has to be concerned about already published models which are almost exclusively developed for another model cyanobacterium _Synechococcus elongatus_ (e.g. Miyoshi et al., 2007; Mori et al., 2007; Rust et al., 2007; van Zon et al., 2007). For our modelling approach let be assumed that the features of interest in these two organisms are comparable. More details on molecular base of cyanobacteria circadian clock are well described recently by Ditty et al. (2009).

For purposes of diurnal cyanobacteria growth modelling we adopted the oscillator developed by Miyoshi et al. (2007) that allows entrainment by the light-dark forcing applied on the culture. Adopted mechanistic model of oscillator constitutes the set of 13 differential equations that describe changes in concentration of protein complexes involved in circadian clock system. The equations with state variable descriptions (Table 1) are as follows

$$\frac{d[K_{16}]}{dt} = -[K_{16}] \left[ k_{1} + k_{21} + v_{cat1}[K_{16}]^{-1} \right] + k_{22}[P_{C_{6}}] + k_{2}[K_{C}]^6 + v_{cat2}$$

$$\frac{d[P_{C_{6}}]}{dt} = -[P_{C_{6}}] \left( k_{3} + k_{22} + k_{23} \right) + k_{4}[K_{C}]^3[P_{C}]^3 + k_{21}[K_{C}]^6 + k_{24}[C_{6}P_{C_{6}}] + v_{cat4}$$

$$\frac{d[C_{6}P_{C_{6}}]}{dt} = y = -[C_{6}P_{C_{6}}] \left( k_{5} + k_{24} \right) + k_{6}[P_{C}]^6 + k_{23}[P_{C_{6}}] + v_{cat5}$$

$$\frac{d[K_{C}]}{dt} = 6(k_{1}[K_{16}] - k_{2}[K_{C}]^6) + (k_{5}[K_{C}]^3 - k_{2}[K_{C}]^6 + 3k_{2}[P_{C_{6}}] + v_{deg3}[K_{C}]$$

where $K_{16}$ is the KaiA dimer, $P_{C_{6}}$ is the KaiB active tetramer complex, $C_{6}P_{C_{6}}$ is the KaiA-KaiB-KaiC complex, and $K_{C}$ is the non-phosphorylated KaiC monomer. The parameters $k_{1}$, $k_{2}$, $k_{3}$, $k_{4}$, $k_{5}$, $k_{6}$ determine the reaction rates and dependences of the oscillator on various factors such as light intensity, temperature, and growth rate.
The functional structure of circadian oscillator in cyanobacteria on molecular level was discovered by Ishiura et al. (1998). The experiment data were measured on the culture. Adopted mechanistic model of oscillator constitutes the set of 13 differential equations that describe changes in concentration of protein complexes involved in circadian clock system. The equations with state variable descriptions (Table 1) are as follows:

\[
\frac{d[P\text{kai}C]}{dt} = -[P\text{kai}C]^3(3k_i[P\text{kai}C]^3 + 2k_{6}[P\text{kai}C]^3) + 3k_3[P\text{ppkai}C_6] + 6k_5[C\text{PKai}C_6] - k_{p\text{deg}}[P\text{kai}C]L
\]

\[
\frac{d[K\text{iab}_4]}{dt} = -[K\text{iab}_4](k_{10} + k_{11}[K\text{ai}A_2]) + k_9[K\text{ai}B]^4 + k_{12}[K\text{ai}A_2B_4] - v_{\text{cat}_{b1}} + v_{\text{cat}_{b2}}
\]

\[
\frac{d[K\text{ai}B]}{dt} = 4(k_{10}[K\text{iab}_4] - k_5[K\text{ai}B]^4) + (k_{d2}[k\text{ai}BC\text{mRNA}] - k_{p\text{deg}}[K\text{ai}B])L
\]

\[
\frac{d[K\text{ai}A_2]}{dt} = -[K\text{ai}A_2](k_7 + k_{11}[K\text{iab}_4]) + k_8[K\text{ai}A]^2 + k_{12}[K\text{ai}A_2B_4]
\]

\[
\frac{d[K\text{ai}A]}{dt} = -[K\text{ai}A](2k_8[K\text{ai}A] + k_{p\text{deg}}L) + 2k_2[K\text{ai}A_2] + k_{d1}[k\text{ai}A\text{mRNA}]L
\]

\[
\frac{d[k\text{ai}A\text{mRNA}]}{dt} = k_{t1} \frac{k_{t21}[\text{RNAP}]}{1 + k_{t21}[\text{RNAP}]} [P\text{PKaiC}_6] L - k_{m\text{deg}}[k\text{ai}A\text{mRNA}]
\]

Table 1. State variables description with initial conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State variables</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Init. cond. [molecules/ce II]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[KaiC]_6</td>
<td>non-phosphorylated KaiC hexameric complex</td>
<td>139.220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[PPKaiC]_6</td>
<td>partially phosphorylated KaiC hexameric complex</td>
<td>779.158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[CPKaiC]_6</td>
<td>completely phosphorylated KaiC hexameric complex</td>
<td>1229.563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[KaiC]</td>
<td>non-phosphorylated KaiC monomer</td>
<td>932.446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[PKaiC]</td>
<td>phosphorylated KaiC monomer</td>
<td>110.829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[KaiB]_4</td>
<td>KaiB-inactive tetramer complex</td>
<td>0.173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[KaiB]_4</td>
<td>KaiB-active tetramer complex</td>
<td>3251.369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[KaiA_2]</td>
<td>KaiA dimer</td>
<td>166.559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[KaiA]</td>
<td>KaiA monomer</td>
<td>9.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[kaiA mRNA]</td>
<td>kaiA mRNA</td>
<td>2.856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[kaiBC mRNA]</td>
<td>kaiBC mRNA</td>
<td>2.865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[KaiA_B_4]</td>
<td>complex of a KaiA dimer and KaiB-active tetramer</td>
<td>51.022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
where rate variables formed from Michaelis-Menten equations are as follows

\[ v_{\text{cat}1} = k_{\text{cat}1} \frac{[\text{KaiA}_2][\text{KaiC}_6]}{K_{n_1} + [\text{KaiC}_6]} \]  

(19)

\[ v_{\text{cat}2} = k_{\text{cat}2} \frac{[\text{KaiA}_2][\text{PPKaiC}_6]}{K_{m_2} + [\text{PPKaiC}_6]} \]  

(20)

\[ v_{\text{cat}3} = k_{\text{cat}3} \frac{[\text{KaiA}_2][\text{PPKaiC}_6]}{K_{m_3} + [\text{PPKaiC}_6]} \]  

(21)

\[ v_{\text{cat}4} = k_{\text{cat}4} \frac{[\text{KaiA}_2][\text{CPKaiC}_6]}{K_{m_4} + [\text{CPKaiC}_6]} \]  

(22)

\[ v_{\text{cat}_{-b1}} = k_{\text{cat}_{-b1}} \frac{[\text{PPKaiC}_6][\text{KaiB}_4]}{K_{m_{-b1}} + [\text{KaiB}_4]} \]  

(23)

\[ v_{\text{cat}_{-b2}} = k_{\text{cat}_{-b2}} \frac{[\text{CPKaiC}_6][\text{KaiB}_4]}{K_{m_{-b2}} + [\text{KaiB}_4]} \]  

(24)

The parameter values and variable description, of all the rate constants starting in the notation with \( k \) and all the Michaelis constants starting in the notation with \( K \) are specified in the application example. Also the initial conditions of the set (6)-(18) are provided in the application example. Because some rate variables in (6)-(18) are activated by forcing light (for original reference see Miyoshi et al., 2007), while in dark these rate variables are relaxed, we apply to distinguish between the activation and relaxation of these rate variables a logical variable \( L \) already substituted into (6)-(18). This logical variable represents on/off irradiance state (for more details see Section 5).

For the simulation purposes (6)-(18) are viewed as the state equations in state variables specified by Table 1 and constituting the state vector as follows

\[
\mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix}
[KaiC_6][PPKaiC_6][CPKaiC_6][KaiC][PKaiC][KaiB_4][KaiB_4]
\vdots
[KaiB][KaiA_2][KaiA][kaiA mRNA][kaiBC mRNA][KaiA_2B_4]
\end{bmatrix}^T
\]  

(25)
Correcting several misprints in Miyoshi et al. (2007) the following changes were carried out in (11) and (13). Namely, the signs of rate variables $v_9 = k_4 [KaiB]^4$, $v_{10} = k_{10} [KaiB]^4$ are exchanged, and at the same time the right-hand sides of expressions for $v_9$, $v_{10}$ are mutually exchanged. Another correction was applied to parameters $k_{cat4}$ and $k_{pdeg4}$. In addition, parameters $K_{m1}$, $K_{m2}$ were increased 1000 times to achieve the circadian rhythms of 24 h period.

5. Approximation of biological clock by Van der Pol oscillator

An oscillator based on Van der Pol equation is proposed to approximate the chemical oscillator presented in previous section because of appreciable simplification concerning the number of both state variables and tuning parameters. Then, let the proposed Van der Pol oscillator be considered in the matrix form

$$\frac{dz(t)}{dt} = Az(t) + F(z)z(t)z_1(t) + B(z)u(t, D)$$

(26)

where $z = [z_1, z_2, z_3]^T$ is the state vector of the oscillator. Both the state and input matrices are

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & a \varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{T} \end{bmatrix}, \quad F(z) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & abz_2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{T} \end{bmatrix}$$

(27)

and

$$B(z) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{q}{4\pi^2} z_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\Omega}{T} \end{bmatrix}$$

(28)

respectively. The constants $a$, $\varepsilon$, $b$ are the parameters of Van der Pol equation and the oscillator input vector is of the form $u(t, D) = [l(t) \quad \Omega(t - D)]^T$, where $l(t)$ is the cyclic light intensity representing the diurnal cycles, and $\Omega$ is the frequency on which the oscillator is to be entrained. Gain $q$ amplifies the light intensity and time constant $T$ with pure delay $D$ determines the dynamics of adaptation. The existence of limit cycle motion is conditioned by the inequality

$$\left(\varepsilon - b z_2^2\right) > 0$$

(29)

where $\varepsilon > 0$, $b > 0$. The other parameters, $a$ and $q$, are the weighting coefficients which influence the shape of limit cycle oscillations. The oscillator output is given by the equation
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\[
y(t) = C_d z_2(t) = C_d \frac{dz_1(t)}{dt}
\]  

(30)

where \( C_d \) is a normalization coefficient. Output \( y \) is then the input variable of specific growth rate (3) to modify the cyanobacteria growth by circadian rhythms as \( \mu_c(t) = C y(t) = C C_d z_2(t) \).

6. Oscillator-based scheme for adapting to circadian rhythms

Basically equation (1) provides the model with the internal relationships which govern the growth of population but this model part does not express the circadian character and particularly the impact of diurnal cycles on the growth. The biological populations are specifically sensitive to changes in their environment and thus they are able to adapt themselves to these changes. All this suggests that a more complex mechanism than a pure sensory adaptation may be involved in the model. It is typical that the adaptation time constants tend to be rather longer than the ones typical for sensoric organ responses. This property of biological systems is provided by means of applying an oscillator (Pavlidis, 1973) influenced by a generator of diurnal cycles as in the block diagram in Fig. 2. Using the oscillator based on Van der Pol equation, the generator of diurnal cycles of light irradiation \( l(t) \) may be applied to adapt the limit cycle frequency of the oscillator. Another oscillator, called chemical, is forced by sequence of 0 and 1, where 0 and 1 correspond to the dark phase and light phase, respectively. This is simply done using logical variable \( L \), see Fig. 2, that either 0 or 1 are in the product with corresponding rate variables in right-hand sides of (8)-(20). We say that these rate variables are in on/off irradiance state.

![Fig. 2. Oscillator-based scheme for adapting to circadian rhythms](image-url)

The modelling with the help of the scheme in Fig. 2 requires the setting of proper initial conditions comprised in vectors \( w(0), \ z(0) \) and initial cyanobacteria concentration (inoculum) \( x(0) \) with relaxed \( x(-\tau), 0 < \tau \leq \tau_{\text{max}} \).
7. Application example

In this section a growth model for cyanobacteria species *Cyanothece* is presented. For fitting the model the data from experiments reported in Section 2 were used. The samples of measured courses of cyanobacteria, like that in Fig. 5 were used to identify the model parameters. First, the parameters of specific growth rate $\mu$ were determined, for more details we refer to Červený et al., (2007), where the cultivated cyanobacteria species *Cyanothece* is investigated. In this paper the following parameters are considered:

$\mu_{\text{max}} = 0.028 \text{ h}^{-1}$, $I_{\text{sat}} = 126 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$, auxiliary value $p(\theta = 30^\circ \text{C}) = 1$, coefficient $k_i = 3.4$, specific parameter $k_w = 31.6$. The remaining parameters of model (1) have been resulted in the distributions $A(\tau)$ and $B(\tau)$ as follows

$$A(\tau) = \begin{cases} 0, & \tau < 0 \\ \frac{3.33 \tau}{24}, & \tau \in (0, 24) \text{ h} \\ 3.33, & \tau > 24 \end{cases}$$

(31)

First, the parameters of chemical oscillator are determined in the table below (adopted from Supplementary Online Material in Červený & Nedbal (2009)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rate constants</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$k_i = 1.615 \text{ h}^{-1}$</td>
<td>dissociation rate for KaiC$_6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_2 = 2.039 \times 10^{-16} \text{ molecules}^{-1} \text{ cell}^5 \text{ h}^{-1}$</td>
<td>binding rate for KaiC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_3 = 1.615 \times 10^{-4} \text{ h}^{-1}$</td>
<td>dissociation rate for PPKaiC$_6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_4 = 1.019 \times 10^{-14} \text{ molecules}^{-1} \text{ cell}^5 \text{ h}^{-1}$</td>
<td>binding rate for KaiC and PKaiC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_5 = 0.162 \text{ h}^{-1}$</td>
<td>dissociation rate for CPKaiC$_6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_6 = 1.019 \times 10^{-18} \text{ molecules}^{-1} \text{ cell}^5 \text{ h}^{-1}$</td>
<td>binding constant for PKaiC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_7 = 0.162 \times 10^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$</td>
<td>dissociation rate for KaiA$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_8 = 0.268 \text{ molecules}^{-1} \text{ cell}^4 \text{ h}^{-1}$</td>
<td>binding rate for KaiA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_9 = 7.393 \times 10^{-17} \text{ molecules}^{-1} \text{ cell}^3 \text{ h}^{-1}$</td>
<td>binding rate for KaiB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{10} = 1.615 \times 10^{-4} \text{ h}^{-1}$</td>
<td>dissociation rate for KaiB$_4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{11} = 8.756 \times 10^{-4} \text{ molecules}^{-1} \text{ cell}^4 \text{ h}^{-1}$</td>
<td>binding rate for KaiA$_2$ and KaiB$_4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{12} = 8.788 \times 10^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$</td>
<td>dissociation rate for KaiA$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{21} = 1.079 \times 10^{-8} \text{ h}^{-1}$</td>
<td>autophosphorylation rate of KaiA$_2$B$_4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{22} = 1.079 \times 10^{-5} \text{ h}^{-1}$</td>
<td>autodephosphorylation rate of PPKaiC$_6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{23} = 1.079 \times 10^{-6} \text{ h}^{-1}$</td>
<td>autophosphorylation rate of PPKaiC$_6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{24} = 1.079 \times 10^{-8} \text{ h}^{-1}$</td>
<td>autodephosphorylation rate of CPKaiC$_6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{a1} = 1.017 \times 10^{7} \text{ molecules cell}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$</td>
<td>transcription rate of kaiA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The initial conditions of the chemical oscillator are chosen to be synchronized with those of the cyanobacteria circadian oscillator. In Fig. 3 the phase portraits of the chemical and Van der Pol oscillators are shown. The parameters of Van der Pol oscillator are found as follows: $\Omega = 0.26 \text{rad}\cdot\text{h}^{-1} (2\pi/24)$, $\epsilon = 1$, $b = 181$, $a = 0.03$, $q = 0.03$, $T = 1\text{h}$ and $D = 0$. Proportional gain $C$ results in $5 \times 10^{-4} \text{molecules}^{-1}\cdot\text{cell}$ for the chemical oscillator and $2 \text{molecules}^{-1}\cdot\text{cell}$ for the Van der Pol oscillator. In addition, normalization coefficient $C_d$ in (30) is adjusted at the value 2molecules$^{-1}\cdot$cell$^{-1}$. For comparing both oscillators the initial conditions of the chemical oscillator are set in their “morning” values, introduced by Miyo shi et al. (2007), are listed in Table 1. Obviously, the third–order oscillator of Van der Pol type cannot satisfy these initial conditions. For comparing both oscillators the initial conditions of the chemical oscillator are set in their “morning” values, introduced by Miyo shi et al. (2007), are listed in Table 1. Obviously, the third–order oscillator of Van der Pol type cannot satisfy these initial conditions. For comparing both oscillators the initial conditions of the chemical oscillator are set in their “morning” values, introduced by Miyo shi et al. (2007), are listed in Table 1. Obviously, the third–order oscillator of Van der Pol type cannot satisfy these initial conditions. For comparing both oscillators the initial conditions of the chemical oscillator are set in their “morning” values, introduced by Miyo shi et al. (2007), are listed in Table 1. Obviously, the third–order oscillator of Van der Pol type cannot satisfy these initial conditions. For comparing both oscillators the initial conditions of the chemical oscillator are set in their “morning” values, introduced by Miyo shi et al. (2007), are listed in Table 1. Obviously, the third–order oscillator of Van der Pol type cannot satisfy these initial conditions.

Then the parameters of Van der Pol oscillator are found as follows: $\Omega = 0.26 \text{rad}\cdot\text{h}^{-1} (2\pi/24)$, $\epsilon = 1$, $b = 181$, $a = 0.03$, $q = 0.03$, $T = 1\text{h}$ and $D = 0$. Proportional gain $C$ results in $5 \times 10^{-4} \text{molecules}^{-1}\cdot\text{cell}$ for the chemical oscillator and $2 \text{molecules}^{-1}\cdot\text{cell}$ for the Van der Pol oscillator. In addition, normalization coefficient $C_d$ in (30) is adjusted at the value 2molecules$^{-1}\cdot$cell$^{-1}$. For comparing both oscillators the initial conditions of the chemical oscillator are set in their “morning” values, introduced by Miyo shi et al. (2007), are listed in Table 1. Obviously, the third–order oscillator of Van der Pol type cannot satisfy these initial conditions. For comparing both oscillators the initial conditions of the chemical oscillator are set in their “morning” values, introduced by Miyo shi et al. (2007), are listed in Table 1. Obviously, the third–order oscillator of Van der Pol type cannot satisfy these initial conditions. For comparing both oscillators the initial conditions of the chemical oscillator are set in their “morning” values, introduced by Miyo shi et al. (2007), are listed in Table 1. Obviously, the third–order oscillator of Van der Pol type cannot satisfy these initial conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$k_{cat}$</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$k_{cat1} = 0.539 \text{h}^{-1}$</td>
<td>rate of KaiC$\varepsilon$ phosphorylation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{cat2} = 0.539 \text{h}^{-1}$</td>
<td>rate of PPKaiC$\varepsilon$ dephosphorylation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{cat3} = 1.079 \text{h}^{-1}$</td>
<td>rate of PPKaiC$\varepsilon$ phosphorylation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{cat4} = 0.890 \text{h}^{-1}$</td>
<td>rate of CPKaiC$\varepsilon$ dephosphorylation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{cat_{-61}} = 2.423 \text{h}^{-1}$</td>
<td>rate of KaiB$_4$ inactivation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{cat_{-62}} = 0.346 \text{h}^{-1}$</td>
<td>rate of KaiB$_4$ activation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{indeg1} = 0.133 \text{h}^{-1}$</td>
<td>degradation rate of kaiA mRNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{indeg2} = 0.178 \text{h}^{-1}$</td>
<td>degradation rate of kaiBC mRNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{pdeg1} = 8.00 \times 10^{-3} \text{h}^{-1}$</td>
<td>degradation rate of KaiA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{pdeg2} = 0.490 \text{h}^{-1}$</td>
<td>degradation rate of KaiB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{pdeg3} = 1.300 \text{h}^{-1}$</td>
<td>degradation rate of KaiC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{pdeg4} = 0.200 \text{h}^{-1}$</td>
<td>degradation rate of PKaiC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{p1} = 8.239 \times 10^{-3} \text{h}^{-1}$</td>
<td>translation rate of kaiA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{p2} = 1.701 \times 10^{2} \text{h}^{-1}$</td>
<td>translation rate of kaiBC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Rate and other constants in equations (6) – (24)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Michaelis and miscellaneous constants</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$k_{bts1} = 3.657 \times 10^{-12} \text{molecules}^{-1}\cdot\text{cell}$</td>
<td>binding constant for RNA polymerase in kaiA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{bts1} = 1.000 \times 10^{-12} \text{molecules}^{-3}\cdot\text{cell}$</td>
<td>binding constant for RNA polymerase in kaiBC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{m1} = 602 \text{molecules cell}^{-1}$</td>
<td>Michaelis constant for KaiC$\varepsilon$ phosphorylation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{m2} = 602 \text{molecules cell}^{-1}$</td>
<td>Michaelis constant for PPKaiC$\varepsilon$ dephosphorylation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{m3} = 0.602 \text{molecules cell}^{-1}$</td>
<td>Michaelis constant for PPKaiC$\varepsilon$ phosphorylation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{m4} = 0.602 \text{molecules cell}^{-1}$</td>
<td>Michaelis constant for CPKaiB$_4$ dephosphorylation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{m_{-b1}} = 0.602 \text{molecules cell}^{-1}$</td>
<td>Michaelis constant for KaiB$_4$ inactivation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{m_{-b2}} = 6.675 \times 10^{1} \text{molecules cell}^{-1}$</td>
<td>Michaelis constant for KaiB$_{4i}$ activation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{RNAP}] = 5000 \text{molecules cell}^{-1}$</td>
<td>RNA polymerase concentration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Rate and other constants in equations (6) – (24)
oscillator are set in their “morning” values, introduced by Miyoshi et al. (2007), are listed in Table 1. Obviously, the third –order oscillator of Van der Pol type cannot satisfy these conditions but its limit cycle can be satisfactorily identified with that of the chemical oscillator. In order to set Van der Pol oscillator output close to limit cycle the following initial conditions are used

\[ z_1(0) = -0.085, z_2(0) = 0, z_3(0) = \Omega^2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (32) \]

where \( \Omega \) is the frequency of desired circadian rhythm.

The initial conditions of the chemical oscillator are chosen to be synchronized with cyanobacteria circadian oscillator. In Fig. 3 the phase portraits of the chemical and Van der Pol oscillator are recorded.

Fig. 3. Phase portraits of both oscillators with initial conditions in Table 1 and (32)

After comparing limit cycles of both oscillators the chemical oscillator tends to the limit cycle along a spiral while the Van der Pol oscillator immediately achieves the limit cycle. However, the subject of interest is to apply the variables on horizontal axes in Fig. 3 what are the derivatives changing the specific growth rate in (1).

The specific growth rate given by (2), composed from two components, is drawn in Fig. 4 under light conditions specified in Fig. 5.

In Fig. 5 the cyanobacteria growth is obtained in circadian LD 12:12 regime, where LD regime abbreviates light/dark regime in hours. Later on, the LD regime is switched to LL regime where LL denotes continuous light.
Fig. 4. Specific growth rate (2) in percents with respect to $\mu_{\text{max}}$

In Fig. 5 an experiment with cyanobacteria culture is recorded, where the cyclic lighting with 24 hours period is changed to a permanent light with constant intensity. The measured data of cyanobacteria growth are compared with the modelling results obtained from both the models with chemical and Van der Pol oscillators. Both the models fit well the undisturbed growth of the culture, however, the model version with the chemical oscillator is in a better agreement with the experiments, better than it is with the application of Van der Pol oscillator.
8. Conclusions

The issue of circadian rhythms is getting more importance with the emerging possibility of intensifying the biotechnological processes. The main aim of the paper is to show that the rather complex chemical oscillator can be substituted by a relatively simple Van der Pol oscillator in its timing function in modelling the circadian rhythms. While the chemical oscillator consists of thirteen state variables the simple Van der Pol is of the third order only. Apparently these oscillators cannot be fully equivalent in their state vectors, but both the oscillators can substitute each other in generating the clock limit cycle. The basic frequency is given by the 24 hour period and both these oscillators can be tuned to a different desired frequency. Nevertheless the adjustment of the period is not of the same dynamics in both the oscillators. Only in this respect the Van der Pol oscillator does not fit the growth oscillations in cyanobacteria as the chemical one, but this shortage is not substantial for the bioreactor application and is outweighed by the simplicity of the proposed approximation. As regards the presented results it is necessary to note that the experiment conditions were simplified as to the simplified nourishment technique, where only CO$_2$ was supplied while no fixable nitrogen was available. That is why in the presented experiments the cyanobacteria concentration drops during the dark phases, which is not typical in case of complete nourishment.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the Ministry of Education of the Czech Republic under Project 1M0567, and subsequently by grants AV0Z60870520 (Czech Academy of Sciences) and GACR 206/09/1284 (Czech Science Foundation) and through the FUNCDYN Programme of the European Science Foundation and European Commission, Contract no. ERAS-CT-2003-980409.

9. References


The book presents a collection of chapters dealing with a wide selection of topics concerning different applications of modeling. It includes modeling, simulation, and optimization applications in the areas of medical care systems, genetics, business, ethics, and linguistics, applying very sophisticated methods. Algorithms, 3-D modeling, virtual reality, multi-objective optimization, finite element methods, multi-agent model simulation, system dynamics simulation, hierarchical Petri Net model and two-level formalism modeling are tools and methods employed in these papers.

How to reference

In order to correctly reference this scholarly work, feel free to copy and paste the following:
